

Radioactive Carbon Dating

By: David A. Sargent

The trouble with carbon dating is that the decay of carbon has NOT been uniform throughout time as the scientific community has assumed. There have been two major cataclysmic events that have caused a sudden and rapid decay each time.

The first event happened in Genesis 1:2 and recorded in Isaiah 14:12-15, “How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.” And Ezekiel 28:14-17, “Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God; thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of

fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee.”

The second event started in Genesis 6:13 and happened in Genesis 7:6, “And Noah was six hundred years old when the flood of waters was upon the earth.” And in Genesis 7:11-12, “In the six hundredth year of Noah's life, in the second month, the seventeenth day of the month, the same day were all the fountains of the great deep broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened. And the rain was upon the earth forty days and forty nights.”

These two cataclysmic events disrupted the earth severely. The first event created what we know as the Pacific Ocean and was a world killer explosion that would have totally vaporized the air on earth however by casting Lucifer to the earth causing the explosion that also sent the earth plummeting into the great deep waters. This would have been the most powerful destructive force the world has ever seen up until the earth is dissolved by fire in the future which is over a thousand years from now.

The second event also was a major disruption of the earth and the ecosystem making the Atlantic Ocean the crack in the earth that separated the continents. It also caused the water over the atmosphere to fall as rain for the first time also diminishing a protective layer of the ecosystem and causing a rapid decay of carbon. This decay can be tested in carbon life forms still living and will show the living organism to be dead thousands of years.

The test is not accurate because things in physics are skewed because of the sin curse that the scientific community just doesn't take into consideration. If the EARTH was cursed; then the rate of decay would also be altered. This is also the effect of time because of the degeneration process is exponentiated; ergo, depletion is not only worse now than ever and increasing.

From: <http://art-of-facts.blogspot.com/2010/02/carbon-dating-lie.html>

“The theory of carbon dating is interesting, but there are inherent problems with the presumptions upon which it is based.

Used to estimate the age of ancient artifacts and human and animal remains, radiocarbon dating is regarded by many as one of the miracles of modern science. Some, however, have serious doubts about the credibility of this technique.

Radiocarbon dating works by comparing the amount of normal carbon that is found in a sample with the amount of radioactive carbon. Both carbon and radioactive carbon are found in every living organism. While carbon is quite prevalent in these organisms, radioactive carbon is present only in tiny amounts. Some contend that the relative ratios of carbon and radioactive carbon that are found on the earth have remained constant over time and that, using known rates of decay; we can estimate age on the basis of changes in this ratio in a particular artifact or remains.

Radioactive carbon is absorbed by living organisms throughout their entire life. When the organism dies that absorption stops

and the radioactive carbon begins to break down. Because this break down occurs at a known rate it is theoretically possible to compare the amount of regular carbon and the amount of radioactive carbon and estimate just how long an organism has been dead.

Although the theory of radiocarbon dating is interesting, there are several inherent problems with the process. The first of these problems is the fact that the original ratio of carbon and radioactive carbon is unknown. The second problem is that the possibility of contamination of the sample over time is quite high. The older the sample the higher the probability of contamination, in fact! What this means is that using carbon dating to date very old samples is really quite impractical given our current level of knowledge and technological capabilities.

While carbon dating continues to be considered by many as a viable way of obtaining authoritative dates for a wide range of artifacts and remains, there is much room for error in the process. Even the use of accelerator mass spectrometry to analyze the relative levels of carbon and radioactive carbon has resulted in flawed determinations. It is not uncommon for different laboratories to determine quite different ages for the same artifact! While some of this deviation could possibly be explained by contamination or erred methodology in the labs themselves, it is apparent that the problems with carbon dating are much more complex than that.

Very simply put, too many things are unknown to allow the carbon dating process to be as accurate as many proclaim it to

be. Factors as diverse as changes in the earth's magnetic field and changes in the amount of carbon available to organisms in times past could translate into perceivable differences in the carbon ratios in artifacts and remains from ancient times. Even changes in the atmosphere itself could impact this carbon ratio. We know that changes such as these have occurred over time. They are still occurring today in fact.

The fact that carbon and radioactive carbon are independently formed means that their ratios to one another could have changed substantially from ancient times to today. To base our knowledge on the age of the earth and its various constituents on information gleaned from a technique that depends on carbon and radioactive carbon ratios is very simply unrealistic.

There has never been any reliable system of dating the age of the Earth. Any object they date may be 4,000 years old on the first test, then 2.8 million years old on the second test. Then the testers picks the age to fit their religion. Oh yes, Evolution is a religion because you have to have faith to believe because it can't be proven and the results given are lies. Lies are the basis of Evolution. The only proven dates of things discovered are around 4 to 6 thousand years old. How about that, it matches what the Bible says.”

The End
JPG END