

Lavender Blather

A Study in Linguistic Structural Analysis

By: David A. Sargent

Linguistic Etymological Scientific Structures (LESS) in modern usage produces assurance architecture in appearance to correlate rather closely with anticipated epistemological repercussions. Further, the produced configuration baseline minimizes the probability of project success, yet maximizes cost and time required for an abstract underlying order. We can see, in retrospect, an important property of these types of LESS necessitates that urgent consideration be applied to possible bi-directional logical relationship approaches. It appears that the characterization of specific criteria adds overwhelming uncomplicated significance to the management-by-contention principle in linguistics practices: i.e. when more is better use LESS! (An Acronym for: *Linguistic Etymological Scientific Structures*.)

Foundationally the integral subsystem consideration, initiation of indispensable charismatic subculture development must be utilized and be functionally interwoven with fundamental ingredients formulated for language structure and arrangement analysis. In respect to essential position of departmentalized objectives, the natural, ordinary and general principle that will subsume this case is holistically compounded, in the context of any communicatively programmed linguistic techniques. In Retrospect to characterize a *Linguistic Level* (LL), the appearance of parasitic gaps in the sphere of influence relatively inaccessible to ordinary extraction is to be regarded as the anticipated epistemological repercussions of disproportion. It must be emphasized, once again, that the completely integrated testimonial grammarian is holistically compounded, in the context of the total configuration rationale extending to projecting verbalization and verbose etymological functional.

In theory, a descriptively adequate grammar adds explicit performance contours to nondistinctness in the sense of distinctive syntactical feature theory. However, this selectionally introduces contextual features appear to account satisfactorily for an important distinction in language exercise. Thus, any associated supporting element presents a valuable challenge showing the necessity for the extension of the command line parameters communicated in connection with idiomatic vocal-related

substantiation. This decompresses to LESS is enhanced: especially where redundancy of effusive garrulous verbalization is arranged.

To approach explanatory adequacy, this compatibility assortment introduces contextual characteristics and is unspecific with respect to the postulated exploit of dialog as administrated by the *Technological Linguistic Connote* (TLC) approach. The construct of LESS purports exclusive perplexity to ordinarily subtle garrulous linguistics. This profound view at best is compounded by fissures irrefutable and at worse individuals regarding the character of the conversation determines it undistinguished.

The probability potential and subsequent in the appearances of parasitic fissures in domesticated relative inaccessible phraseology to the ordinary extraction is selectively considered in determining the system of foundational regulations exclusive to the lexicon alternative. Obviously, any exponentially verbalization of intellectual coefficient necessitates that imperative consideration be applied to the naïve disapprovability hypothesis that governs the scope of functional linguistic ambiguity. Because suggesting the outcome would follow: commencing the assumption that a primary interrelationship of system and / or subsystem logistics delimits the present uncomplicated perspective over a prearranged time period of complexity. This necessitates the theorem of syntactic features developed previously and combined with explicit performance confined to normative conceptual thinking of the linguistic / holistic continuum. In retrospect the essential departmental objectives of this analysis is coupled with set features effecting the significant implementation of the subsystem compatibility, with which linguistic etymology attests: pertaining to these essentials and the extraordinarily dynamics interwoven throughout the components within.

Conversely, this selectionally introduced contextual characteristic is further compounded when captivating the knowledge of a parasitic fissure construction latent to linguistic misalignments. Thus the proposed independent structuralistic concept effects a significant implementation of nondistinctiveness in the sense of the characteristic elemental hypothesis. That is: the hypothesis that “Straightforwardness is superior.” Interestingly enough: a paradigm of semi-grammaticalness of a different arrangement may remedy and, at the same time, eliminate any anticipated epistemological repercussions. Thus, guaranteeing a successful incomprehensible quantitative attribute rather than the simplistic direct diminutive linguistic paradigm.

Evidently, the majority of the methodological exertion in modern linguistics is rather differentiating from the anticipated epistemological

repercussions. Alternatively, the accepted universal principle determination subsume with this illustration: functionally equivalent and parallel to any communicatively programmed loquacious technique administered in verbose syntactical philology. It follows that the proposed independent structuralistic conception attaches overriding performance constraints to the extension of the command line quantitative discussion in connection with idiomatic substance adhering precisely to expected relative convergence in literature or verbal communication.

To approach accurate user-friendliness, the independent functional principle is, apparently, determined through strong generative capacity of the practical hypothesis and in theory, the incorporation of additional mission constraints as is the notational variant of the fundamental component formulae. It follows that an imperative property of these types of LESS is additionally compounded whilst considering problems of phonetic and morphological analysis.

In conclusion this consideration of the presumptive pronouns subsequent to completely specified evaluations matrices provides an impression of and an account for satisfactory dismantling the abstract underlying arrangement of overall verbiage. This representation of the reverberation of human oral communication and literacy, regularly stipulate representational or unconventional linguistics where LESS is improved. Thus, surrounded by prearranged parameters, a practical model of semi-grammaticalness of a different arrangement presents a valuable challenge presenting the necessity for the perspective reevaluation over a prearranged time period. Therefore, a return to an unadorned, unembellished and un-presumptive; straightforward technique for verbalization and, or documentation, should be pursued and necessarily attained.

Interpretation:

Your speech should be plain and simple or no one will be able to understand it.

If you actually read this you know now what it is about! It's just a puffed up approach to explain that Lavender Blather equals Perfumed Speech!