

YESHOSHUA, YESHUA, YEHOVAH, YAHWAY
A PATHOLOGICAL DIVE INTO
Originality

By: David A. Sargent

There is a movement in certain groups to get back to the “original” name of God, and although this at face value seems to be desirable, there is a similar tone to this as there is in the reconstructionist ideology: Older is better because it is closer to the originals. However this fallacy comes from the idea that God lost his originality and requires men to find it. If God is so concerned with “originality” why would God NOT put a verse about that in the Bible? It’s almost like they are taking verses like Deuteronomy 4:29, “But if from thence thou shalt seek the LORD thy God, thou shalt find him, if thou seek him with all thy heart and with all thy soul.” And making it say: “But if from thence thou shalt seek the original autographs, thou shalt find them, if thou seek them with all thy heart and with all thy soul.” The Bible never claims to have been inspired in the original writings. God never requires us to know or have His original name: and Jehovah is NOT His original name: matter of fact God was not known by the that name until Moses. Exodus 6:3, “And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of God Almighty, but by my name JEHOVAH was I not known to them.” God’s original name is NOT Yahweh or Yeshua, so why make this an issue when it is NOT.

Stong’s Concordance numbers for the names:

Oshea 01954

Numbers 13:8, “...Oshea the son of Nun...”

Numbers 13:16, “...Oshea...” <01954> “...the son of Nun Jehoshua...” <03091> (name changed by Moses.)

Joshua 03091

Numbers 14:6, “...And Joshua...” <03091> “...the son of Nun...”

Hoshea 01954

Deuteronomy 32:44, “...Hoshea the son of Nun...” Also in:

2 Kings 15:30; 2 Kings 17:1; 2 Kings 17:3, 4, 6; 2 Kings 18:9, 10; 1 Chronicles 27:20; Nehemiah 10:23;

Hosea 01954

Hosea 1:1-2, "...Hosea, the son of Beer..."

"...**The son of Nun**..."

Exodus 33:11 **Joshua**, the son of Nun

Numbers 11:28 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 13:8 **Oshea** the son of Nun

Numbers 13:16 Moses called **Oshea** the son of Nun **Jehoshua**.

Numbers 14:6 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 14:30 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 14:38 **Joshua** the son of Nun...**Joshua** the son of Nun.

Numbers 27:18 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 32:12 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 32:28 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Numbers 34:17 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Deuteronomy 1:38 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Deuteronomy 31:23 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Deuteronomy 32:44 **Hoshea** the son of Nun

Deuteronomy 34:9 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 1:1 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 2:1 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 2:23 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 6:6 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 14:1 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 17:4 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 19:49 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 19:51 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 21:1 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Joshua 24:29 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Judges 2:8 **Joshua** the son of Nun

1 Kings 16:34 **Joshua** the son of Nun

Nehemiah 8:17 **Jeshua** the son of Nun

Notice: Joshua, Jeshua and Jehoshua: **never** refer one time to God or God's Name.

There is never a reference to this in the New Testament aside from:

Acts 7:37, “This is that Moses, which said unto the children of Israel, **A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall ye hear.** This is he, that was in **the church in the wilderness** with **the angel** which spake to him in the **mount Sina**, and with our fathers: who received the lively oracles to give unto us: To whom **our fathers would not obey**, but thrust him from them, and **in their hearts** turned back again into Egypt, Saying unto Aaron, Make us **gods** to go before us: for as for this Moses, which brought us out of the land of Egypt, we wot not what is become of him. And they made a **calf** in those days, and offered sacrifice unto **the idol**, and rejoiced in the works of their own hands. Then **God turned**, and **gave them up to worship the host of heaven**; as it is written in the book of the prophets, O ye house of Israel, have ye offered to me slain beasts and sacrifices by the space of forty years in the wilderness? Yea, **ye took up the tabernacle of Moloch**, and **the star of your god Remphan, figures** which ye made **to worship them**: and I will carry you away beyond Babylon. Our fathers had **the tabernacle of witness** in the wilderness, as he had appointed, speaking unto Moses, **that he should make it according to the fashion that he had seen**. Which also our fathers that came after **brought in with Jesus into the possession of the Gentiles**, whom God drave out before the face of our fathers, unto **the days of David**; Who found favour before God, and desired to find a tabernacle for the God of Jacob.” The transliteration of the Hebrew proper name comes across as Jesus when that is talking about Joshua in the Old Testament. However, this advanced revelation in the King James Bible shows up like calling Joshua: Jesus, then that is showing a TYPE. Our Joshua is Jesus and their Jesus is Joshua.

A Critique of The Refiner's Fire

A Netzarim a Myth and Fictional Ideology

Critique By: David A. Sargent

[Critique comments are bracketed [] and **bold** to separate them]

Taken from: http://www.therefinersfire.org/yeshua_not_christian.htm

This site was designed by an unknown who is not wanting to expose himself. On the main page this is what is posted:

"We don't want your money, and we're not recruiting followers. We just want you to start obeying GOD! (2 Corinthians 2: 17. For we are not like others who water down the Words of Elohim....)"

[**and**]

"Welcome to The Refiner's Fire, a Netzarim apologetics website revealing Biblical Truth in a world of myth and fiction. We confirm YHWH (Yah-weh) as ELOHIM, Yeshua as His Divine Messiah, and we teach that "Grace and Mercy" do NOT invalidate His Torah.

Thank you for stopping by!"

[**and**]

"© The Refiner's Fire. DOCUMENTS, ARTICLES AND QUOTES MAY BE USED ONLY WITH PERMISSION!"

[**So without permission because I am critiquing them here is their following questions we are supposed to answer even though they have already answered them from their view.**]

"PLEASE USE THE BIBLE TO ANSWER THE FOLLOWING:" [Which Bible? He means HIS Bible. He does not intend to "use" the Bible in his answers but requires that we do.]

(Try not to use personal interpretations or extra-Biblical, man-made writings) [They disallow what they are doing?]

1. Was Jesus a Jew or a Gentile? [Lord Jesus Christ was both, or did you not know this? The Jews came from Judah who came from Israel (Jacob) who came from Isaac who came from Abraham. Was Abraham a Jew or Gentile? Fact is Abraham was a Hebrew, but not an Israelite nor was he a Jew. These people do NOT have the facts straight. The question is

lined up to trick the babe in Christ. Notice they use the name Jesus in the question and not Yeshua. They are not consistent with what they believe.]

Answer: Yeshua was a Torah observant, tallit wearing, synagogue attending, Sabbath and feast-keeping, kosher Jew who observed the seven commanded holy days. Are today's Christians, for the most part, walking in Torah, keeping the Biblical feasts, or the seventh day Sabbath? (And please check your calendars to see that the 7th day falls on Saturday, not Sunday.) Various sects of Catholicism and many Christians denominations claim to be the "true religion" that Jesus established. Yet, modern day "Christianity" has very little in common with the founder of their "faith".... **[This is ridiculous: there were no "Christians" while Jesus was walking around on earth before his death, burial & resurrection. They were first called Christians in Antioch not even in Jerusalem: Acts 11:26, "And when he had found him, he brought him unto Antioch. And it came to pass, that a whole year they assembled themselves with the church, and taught much people. And the disciples were called Christians first in Antioch." Furthermore Jesus separated himself from the Jews of his day: John 18:36, "Jesus answered, My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence." The Jews themselves spoke of the law and lied about it: John 19:7, "The Jews answered him, We have a law, and by our law he ought to die, because he made himself the Son of God." After saying: John 18:31, "Then said Pilate unto them, Take ye him, and judge him according to your law. The Jews therefore said unto him, It is not lawful for us to put any man to death:" However: The Bible says: Exodus 21:12, "He that smiteth a man, so that he die, shall be surely put to death." This is a law for killing someone that has killed someone else. But they could not use this because Jesus Christ did not ever kill anyone; so they LIED about the law. They would have been guilty of breaking this law and would be in need that they be killed to satisfy this law.]**

2. Why do Christians refer to the Jewish Messiah as "Jesus" when Yeshua was His given Hebrew name? It's not that hard to pronounce....

[Why would anyone think such a thing unless they have an ulterior motive? Philippians 2:9-11, "Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name: That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth; And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father." Because the Bible SAYS SO! Besides in your first question YOU said his name was Jesus: "Was Jesus a Jew or a Gentile?" Why didn't you ask: "Was Yeshua a Jew or a Gentile?" You didn't because no one would know what you are talking about. And furthermore why not write all your words in Hebrewistic transliterations? Yeshua is NOT Hebrew: יֵשׁוּעָה pronounced: yeh-ho-shoo'-ah: and by transliteration: Joshua: Which is Joshua the son of Nun. This name is NEVER used as God's name anywhere in the Bible in the Old or New Testament. The passage above in Philippians the name given in the text base is not Hebrew it is Greek: Ἰησοῦς pronounced: ee-ay-sooce' which is Jesus by transliteration. So what is the issue here? It is one of "originality" some people are caught up on "originals" they just cannot deal with the here and now, they have to always get back to an original!]

Answer: The Jewish Messiah's given name was Yeshua. "Jesus" is the Hellenized-Anglicized form of "Yeshua". "Jesus" doesn't mean anything, while Yeshua means "Salvation". Yeshua never heard the name "Jesus" in His lifetime. Some people have said that this is irrelevant, but our question is this: If your name is "John" or "Mary", why would you answer to "Charles" or "Betty"? Yes, Yeshua knows who we are talking about when we call Him "Jesus", but it's a lot more rewarding to call Him by His given Name - the name that has a meaning.... [This is foolishness: Because the Bible says: Matthew 1:21, "And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call his name JESUS: for he shall save his people from their sins." and Luke 1:31 And, behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and bring forth a son, and shalt call his name JESUS." Why are you using other things besides the Bible, why does YOUR opinion matter and we have to quote the Bible? Well, you asked for it! Why did you say "Jewish Messiah" why not Yewish Meshak, I guess that would be like calling John or Mary: Charles or Betty... funny how hypocrites are trapped in their own hypocrisy. One more time: Matthew 1:23, "Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted is, God with us." And this would be the definition of Jesus because: Matthew 1:25, "And knew her not till she had brought forth her firstborn son: and he called his name JESUS." God said name him Emmanuel, and they named him Jesus: So they mean the same thing: "...which being interpreted is, God with us." So, Jesus DOES have a meaning after all, these people just ignored the Bible and LIED to you.]

3. Where in Scripture does Yahweh tell us to ignore the original, seventh day Sabbath - the day He Himself blessed and made holy (Gen 2:1-2)? [Somebody has an affenity for "originals" that is why you miss quote the Bible, you are using a perversion of the Bible. So, that would allow ANYONE to make their OWN Bible to prove their pet pieve, hobby horse, straw man, etc. Ignore the "original" seventh day Sabbath? Why is that such an issue? Why ignore LYING? Why be ignorant at all? So, why are you calling what you are reading Scripture? All scripture is given by inspiration: and you think only the "originals" are inspired: do you have the originals? NO! Why are YOU LYING? The law was never ment to LIVE BY! It was given to show NO ONE CAN LIVE BY THEM! Romans 3:20-28, "Therefore by the deeds of the law there shall no flesh be justified in his sight: for by the law is the knowledge of sin. But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets; Even the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference: For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; To declare, I say, at this time his righteousness: that he might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus. Where is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay: but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law." This is the word of God that told you: Romans 10:3-4, "For they being ignorant of God's righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness

to every one that believeth." so just contend with this: Romans 10:12, "For there is no difference between the Jew and the Greek: for the same Lord over all is rich unto all that call upon him."}]

Answer: You won't find anything in Scripture that references the changing of the Sabbath to Sunday. Some argue that it's because "Jesus rose on a Sunday" - but you need to ask yourself: Why would that, in itself, change the seventh-day Sabbath that God ordained "in the beginning"? (First of all, please see our article which proves that Jesus did NOT rise on a Sunday!) Some argue that Constantine was responsible for changing the Sabbath because he hated the Jews. **[Romans 9:30-33, 'What shall we say then? That the Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith. But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness. Wherefore? Because they sought it not by faith, but as it were by the works of the law. For they stumbled at that stumblingstone; As it is written, Behold, I lay in Sion a stumblingstone and rock of offence: and whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed.] [Sunday is the first day of the week: Matthew 28:1; Mark 16:2; Mark 16:9; Luke 24:1; John 20:1; John 20:19; Acts 20:7; 1 Corinthians 16:2.] [Seventh month - first day Sabbath: Leviticus 23:24, "Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, In the seventh month, in the first day of the month, shall ye have a Sabbath, a memorial of blowing of trumpets, an holy convocation." how about the ninth day Sabbath of that seventh month? Leviticus 23:32, "It shall be unto you a sabbath of rest, and ye shall afflict your souls: in the ninth day of the month at even, from even unto even, shall ye celebrate your sabbath." oh and then there is the fifteenth day of that same seventh month (sounds like a sabbath month) a whole WEEK long and on that week the FIRST DAY is a sabbath which would be SUNDAY, and then the EIGHTH DAY, again that would be SUNDAY! See: Leviticus 23:39, "Also in the fifteenth day of the seventh month, when ye have gathered in the fruit of the land, ye shall keep a feast unto the LORD seven days: on the first day shall be a sabbath, and on the eighth day shall be a sabbath." Guess you missed that.]**

No matter who instituted Sunday worship, in Yeshua's time, both Jews and Gentiles alike, regularly attended the synagogue for worship on the seventh day, and the fact that interested Gentiles in Antioch requested further instruction of Paul "on the next Sabbath" is irrefutable evidence that no separate Sunday (1st day) meetings were being held there by those early Christians: The Gentiles were willing to wait an entire week, till the next Saturday, for a meeting because they knew that Paul and his companions did not normally meet for worship on a Sunday. Acts 13:42-44 tells us that, on the next Sabbath (Saturday) almost the whole city arrived for the meeting. **[like is said before you missed 1 Corinthians 16:2 Upon the first day of the week let every one of you lay by him in store, as God hath prospered him, that there be no gatherings when I come." and Acts 20:7, "And upon the first day of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them, ready to depart on the morrow; and continued his speech until midnight." Both passages are after your Acts 13, and in Acts 13 Paul was still preaching to the Jews but what you did not FINISH is the context: Acts 13:45-46, "But when the Jews saw the multitudes, they were filled with envy,**

and spake against those things which were spoken by Paul, contradicting and blaspheming. Then Paul and Barnabas waxed bold, and said, It was necessary that the word of God should first have been spoken to you: but seeing ye put it from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of everlasting life, lo, we turn to the Gentiles." SO, Acts 20:7 trumps Acts 13:42-44, because of Acts 13:45-46. And that is why you conveniently LEFT IT OUT!]

Some insist that Acts 20:7 reveals Sunday worship, but this is not true. Fact is, Jewish believers in Yeshua continued meeting at synagogue (or the Temple) for Sabbath. Common practice would be havdallah - meeting in homes after sundown on Saturday to continue discussions and praise from the Sabbath, which explains Paul "preaching until midnight" in Acts 20:7. The first day of the week for Jews (like Paul) began at sundown at the end of the Sabbath - Saturday evening - not "Sunday." **[So alter what the Bible SAYS and make a liar out of God. That doesn't bother me; that's on YOU. But once again you missed the TURNING point in Acts 13. It was TAKEN FROM THE JEWS and given to the Gentiles.]**

Furthermore, the fact is, neither the Father nor the Son has ever claimed the first day as His own in any higher sense than He has each or any of the other laboring days. Neither of them has ever placed any blessing upon it, or attached any sanctity to it. The Bible tells us God expressly reserved the seventh day to Himself, placing His blessing upon it, and claimed it as His holy day. (Genesis 2:1-3.) Moses told Israel in the wilderness of Sin of the sixth day of the week, "Tomorrow is the rest of the holy Sabbath unto the Lord." Exodus 16:23. (Please see The True Sabbath Day.) **[Blah, blah, blah: Here are TWO Sunday Sabbath days: Leviticus 23:39, "Also in the fifteenth day of the seventh month, when ye have gathered in the fruit of the land, ye shall keep a feast unto the LORD seven days: on the first day shall be a sabbath, and on the eighth day shall be a sabbath." Try reading it in your "original" Hebrew. There is more than just sabbath days, there is this sabbath month, a sabbath year, and High Holy Sabbaths like passover that can occur on ANY day of the week and there is a sabbath thousandth year. So why just deal with one of those Sabbaths and not all of them? Is it because you don't keep them all.]**

4. Christians insist that "the law" was abolished. How is that possible when sin is transgression of "the law"? When and by whom was it abolished? Certainly not by Yeshua! He said He came NOT to abolish but to confirm (Hebrew: koom which means "establish/confirm)". Why would Yeshua's role as FINAL SIN OFFERING (He martyred Himself!) in any way negate Torah - God's original teaching and instruction? Did He ever say, "I came to abolish Torah and render my original teachings void"? Yeshua is our "New Covenant" and "Sin Offering". Period. God gave us a New Covenant, not a new Torah! Did He even mention Torah at all as He spoke about giving us a New Covenant (Jer.31:30-33/Heb.8:8-12)? You won't find anything in Scripture to show that Torah was ever abolished. (NOTE: Our use of the term "martyr" is intentional because traditional Jews love to point out that "G-d never required human sacrifice!" Well, Y'shua wasn't a "human sacrifice" because nobody "sacrificed" Him. He was a Divine Sacrifice who willingly went to the cross/stake. As a human being, He had the choice to obey YHWH or not. As we see time and time again, He CHOSE to obey. He KNEW who He was....) **[I never read in the Bible where the law was abolished: I read where it was fulfilled. Why do you have an affenity for**

the Hebrew? When we have the words of God in English. So you didn't give your text and I can only assume you are trying to quote this: Matthew 5:17, "Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil." however confirming or establishing is NOT the same as FULFILLING! So why does JESUS call it "their law"? John 15:25, "But this cometh to pass, that the word might be fulfilled that is written in their law, They hated me without a cause." He did not call it HIS law. or MY law. In fulfilling the LAW Jesus was MADE to BE SIN: 2 Corinthians 5:21, "For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him." This is how he fulfilled the LAW.]

Yeshua said: Matthew 5: 17 Don't think that I have come to abolish the Torah or the Prophets. I have come not to abolish but to complete. 18 Yes indeed! I tell you that until heaven and earth pass away, not so much as a yud or a stroke will pass from the Torah - not until everything that must happen has happened. If "ALL" hasn't happened yet, and heaven and earth have not passed away, then that must mean that His Torah is still valid.... **[You can't seem to quote the Bible right: Matthew 5:17-18, "Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil. For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled." So because you can not quote the Bible but must insult the scriptures by perverting them you have no authority but your own understanding and therefore your argument is null and void.]**

5. Why do Christians insist that "the law" was "written on our hearts" (Jeremiah 31:33-34; Romans 10:4-8) but somehow, the "OT" no longer pertains to them? **[So by this statement he is claiming to NOT be a "Christian" - and does not believe the Bible when it says: 2 Corinthians 3:3, "Forasmuch as ye are manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart."]**

Answer: Many Christians have decided that when God said, "I will put my laws in their minds and write them on their hearts" He meant that His original Torah (teachings) would be rendered null and void and that they would somehow "just know" right from wrong. But since we are born into sin, that is impossible! Until one actually studies and understands Torah, they can't possibly have His Torah "written on their hearts." **[So once again it pops up "original"! This guy thinks that the Torah is the ONLY inspired word of God in its written originals.] [It does not matter what many "Christians" have decided; it matters what the Bible says, but Jeremiah 23:36b, "...ye have perverted the words of the living God, of the LORD of hosts our God." and by doing so: Mark 7:13, "Making the word of God of none effect through your tradition, which ye have delivered: and many such like things do ye." Just like Jehudi and his penknife: Jeremiah 36:23, "And it came to pass, that when Jehudi had read three or four leaves, he cut it with the penknife, and cast it into the fire that was on the hearth, until all the roll was consumed in the fire that was on the hearth."]**

How many of today's people automatically know Yahweh's laws? NOBODY! How many actually keep his laws? If this were truly the case that God's laws were somehow imprinted in

our hearts, there would be no adultery, divorce, murder, hate crimes, abortion, lying, stealing, coveting - things that many Christians are certainly guilty of.... **[So this guy is saying he is NOT guilty of these things?][Yes people have a morality built in them about lying, stealing, killing; but that is NOT the problem: Who do you think you are? You are not above the law, and you have not kept the law perfectly all your life: Romans 3:23, "For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;" and Romans 5:12, "Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned:" YOU are a sinner: James 2:10-11, "For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all. For he that said, Do not commit adultery, said also, Do not kill. Now if thou commit no adultery, yet if thou kill, thou art become a transgressor of the law." all you have to do is tell a little white lie and your done for: there is no need of this law written down in a book: Romans 2:13-15, (For not the hearers of the law are just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified. For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves: Which shew the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another;)] Pagans teach their children what they consider right and wrong and they disobey their own laws.]**

Having "the law written on our hearts" means we now have the desire for Torah! The heart is the "seat of desire". Why? Because it is the battleground over which God and Satan fight continually. Allegiance comes from the heart; as do concepts such as honor, loyalty, and commitment. The heart sets apart greatness from merely "good". Without the heart no endeavor reflects the fact that we are created in the image of God. **[This is a LIE: The Bible is self-interpreting: here he is giving a private interpretation: Romans 2:14, "For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves:" shows that they do NOT have the LAW (Torah) so Romans 2:15, "Which shew the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another;)]** has nothing to do with the Torah in their hearts, that is absolute foolishness.]

6. Since Yahweh said to celebrate the Biblical feasts FOREVER (Leviticus 23:21, 31, 41, Exodus 12:14), why do you believe He wants you to ignore them now, simply because His Son died on the cross? Has "forever" come and gone, or ended somehow? **[First things first: the word "celebrate" is NOT in the Bible unless you have altered it. and it is NOT just the DEATH of His Son, but it is His Resurrection FROM the dead. Now forever when you are IN Christ you are IN the fulfillment of the LAW, and the FEASTS, and EVERYTHING.]**

Answer: No - "forever" has not come and gone. Torah observant believers celebrate the Biblical feasts because it is instructed by God in the Torah for Israel ("Israel" includes EVERYONE who accepts the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob - see Numbers 15:13-16) to observe these festivals forever (Leviticus 23:21, 31, 41, Exodus 12:14). Messiah Yeshua observed these festivals as did the early Messianic Jews and apostles such as Rabbi Shaul/Apostle Paul (Acts 20:16, 1

Corinthians 16:8, Acts 28:17). When Yeshua returns to this earth these festivals will be re-established worldwide (Zechariah 14:16-21). God has His appointed times! He does nothing without purpose. What makes us think we can simply ignore what He says? [**Galatians 2:11-14, "But when Peter was come to Antioch, I withstood him to the face, because he was to be blamed. For before that certain came from James, he did eat with the Gentiles: but when they were come, he withdrew and separated himself, fearing them which were of the circumcision. And the other Jews dissembled likewise with him; insomuch that Barnabas also was carried away with their dissimulation. But when I saw that they walked not uprightly according to the truth of the gospel, I said unto Peter before them all, If thou, being a Jew, livest after the manner of Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews?"**]

Here's something to ponder. Yeshua has only fulfilled the first four of the seven Biblical feasts. If He fulfilled the first four, what makes you think He won't fulfill the last three? If everything goes according to God's Plan and the first four feasts have been fulfilled, then the next feast to be fulfilled is Rosh Hashana - the Feast of Trumpets: the "Rapture". Since Christians choose to believe the entire "OT" has been "nailed to the cross", then does this mean there won't be a "Rapture"? Why should there be, since you don't "believe" in the Biblical feasts.... [**He has fulfilled more than that, and will fulfill more than that. Colossians 2:13-15, "And you, being dead in your sins and the uncircumcision of your flesh, hath he quickened together with him, having forgiven you all trespasses; Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross; And having spoiled principalities and powers, he made a shew of them openly, triumphing over them in it."** Yes that is the WHOLE Old Testament because that Testament was FIXED in Jesus Christ by the New Testament; but ONLY IN HIM. If you are NOT in Him, it is NOT fixed for YOU.]

7. Did Rav Sha'ul (Apostle Paul) actually say that, since Yeshua's death, we can eat whatever we want, meaning there is no more "Kosher"? [**No it was Peter: Acts 10:9-16, "On the morrow, as they went on their journey, and drew nigh unto the city, Peter went up upon the housetop to pray about the sixth hour: And he became very hungry, and would have eaten: but while they made ready, he fell into a trance, And saw heaven opened, and a certain vessel descending unto him, as it had been a great sheet knit at the four corners, and let down to the earth: Wherein were all manner of fourfooted beasts of the earth, and wild beasts, and creeping things, and fowls of the air. And there came a voice to him, Rise, Peter; kill, and eat. But Peter said, Not so, Lord; for I have never eaten any thing that is common or unclean. And the voice spake unto him again the second time, What God hath cleansed, that call not thou common. This was done thrice: and the vessel was received up again into heaven."** This guy needs to read the book of Hebrews in a King James Bible.]

1 Timothy 4: 1 The Spirit expressly states that in the acharit-hayamim some people will apostatize from the faith by paying attention to deceiving spirits and things taught by demons. 2 Such teachings come from the hypocrisy of liars whose own consciences have been burned, as if with a red-hot branding iron. 3 They forbid marriage and require abstinence from foods which

God created to be eaten with thanksgiving by those who have come to trust and to know the truth. 4 For everything created by God is good, and nothing received with thanksgiving needs to be rejected, 5 because the word of God and prayer make it holy. **[He can't quote the Bible right for some reason: always after his private interpretation all the while demanding that I have to answer these according to THE Bible: 1 Timothy 4:1, "Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils; Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; Forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth. For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving: For it is sanctified by the word of God and prayer."]**

First of all we have to remember that Paul was talking to the Jews and Gentiles - who were KOSHER and adhering to God's "clean and unclean" laws. They wouldn't dream of going against what God said in Leviticus concerning what He considered to be food. (Although many prefer to think otherwise, Paul constantly confirmed Torah: Romans 3: 31 Do we then nullify the Law through faith? May it never be! On the contrary, we establish the Law....) **[NO there is neither Jew nor Gentile in Christ: Galatians 3:28, "There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus." So if you are a Jew you are NOT a Christian as you have said before speaking of Christians in the third person as to say you are not one of them. While the Bible says: Acts 11:26, "And when he had found him, he brought him unto Antioch. And it came to pass, that a whole year they assembled themselves with the church, and taught much people. And the disciples were called Christians first in Antioch." And once again he is miss quoting the Bible as well as taking it completely out of context: Romans 3:28, "Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law. Is he the God of the Jews only? is he not also of the Gentiles? Yes, of the Gentiles also: Seeing it is one God, which shall justify the circumcision by faith, and uncircumcision through faith. Do we then make void the law through faith? God forbid: yea, we establish the law." How is the establishing the law: living by the law when it says that the CONCLUSION is that a man is justified by faith WITHOUT the deeds of the law.]**

Paul tried to warn people against doctrines of demons which twist God's Word. Every creature is good and not to be refused IF it is made holy by the Word of God and prayer (thanksgiving). The Word of God in Leviticus tells us what is holy and not holy. That is what Kosher means, because it comes from the same root as kodesh, meaning holy. **[Here he is using a capital "W" for the word of God. That word used in the Bible is "Word" which is ALWAYS the Lord Jesus Christ and NEVER the written words in a book. He is twisting the words of God by doctrines of devils: the Bible NEVER uses the word demon or demons. It always uses the word DEVIL or DEVILS.**

This guy is making a liar out of God continually. Perverting the word of God, altering the words of God and butchering the meaning of God's Holy words. And then calling those words the Son of God! What a buffoon. Let's just see this verse IN THE BIBLE: 1 Timothy

4:1-7, "Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils; Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; Forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth. For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving: For it is sanctified by the word of God and prayer. If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these things, thou shalt be a good minister of Jesus Christ, nourished up in the words of faith and of good doctrine, whereunto thou hast attained. But refuse profane and old wives' fables, and exercise thyself rather unto godliness." Thus we have what the Bible says and it shows this idiot to be a bald faced LIAR. And THAT is what this is saying: "...Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron..." what are they speaking that are LIES? "...Forbidding to marry..." Rome & celibacy, and "...commanding to abstain from meats..." Rome at lent. So this guy is taking his kosherness from ROME which is pagan even today.]

8. Where in Scripture did Yeshua ever attend a "church"? [Funny I never read Yeshua in the Bible anywhere. I think he is meaning Jesus; which would also be funny because the institution "church" is NOT a building, but a gathering, or an assembly of believers called out. However just so you know Jesus is that I AM that I AM: Acts 7:35-38, "This Moses whom they refused, saying, Who made thee a ruler and a judge? the same did God send to be a ruler and a deliverer by the hand of the angel which appeared to him in the bush. He brought them out, after that he had shewed wonders and signs in the land of Egypt, and in the Red sea, and in the wilderness forty years. This is that Moses, which said unto the children of Israel, A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall ye hear. This is he, that was in the church in the wilderness with the angel which spake to him in the mount Sina, and with our fathers: who received the lively oracles to give unto us:" Notice Moses was in the church in the wilderness WITH the angel which was the angel of the Lord that spoke with him in the mountain who received the lively oracles: which would be what this fellow calls the torah. Which Moses received of the Lord. So that angel WAS the LORD.]

Answer: The Bible tells us that He attended "synagogues": Matthew 12:9, Matthew 13:54, Mark 1:21, Mark 1:29, Mark 3:1, Mark 6:2, Luke 4:16, Luke 4:20, Luke 4:28, Luke 4:38, Luke 6:6, Luke 7:5, John 6:59, John 12:42, John 18:20, Acts 13:14, Acts 13:42, Acts 14:1, Acts 18:4, Acts 18:26, Acts 19:8 to mention just a few.... [So the synagogues that Jesus attended were not "church" buildings? Yet who was the first to say the word "church"? Oh, it was Lord Jesus Christ. And how does He USE that word to mean? Is it a building? Matthew 16:18, "And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." and Matthew 18:17, "And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church: but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as an heathen man and a publican." So this "church" that the gates of hell will not prevail against is a building that people are to HEAR and they can TELL things to the

church building? Funny concept that is. Jesus Christ was talking about PEOPLE that GATHERED together IN HIS NAME. Peter is NOT the rock the church is built on because in Matthew 16:23, "But he turned, and said unto Peter, Get thee behind me, Satan: thou art an offence unto me: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but those that be of men." Satan prevailed against Peter, and Lord Jesus Christ called Peter: SATAN. Furthermore in Matthew 16:18 we read "thou art Peter" the thou is a point to "over there" and then Lord Jesus Christ says "...upon THIS rock..." and the this is a point back to HIMSELF. Paul affirms this as well as shows that this ROCK was in the wilderness: 1 Corinthians 10:2-5, "And were all baptized unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea; And did all eat the same spiritual meat; And did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of that spiritual Rock that followed them: and that Rock was Christ. But with many of them God was not well pleased: for they were overthrown in the wilderness."]

Andrew Gabriel Roth's Aramaic English New Testament - translated directly from the original Aramaic into English - never mentions "church" but, rather, uses the words "congregation" or "community". This is a good place to mention that Messiah Yeshua was NOTHING like the modern New Testament representation that most Christian denominations have made Him into. The apostle Paul warned against what was already beginning to happen 1,900 years ago - the world had already embraced "ANOTHER JESUS", a totally different person from the One revealed in Scripture! Paul warned the Corinthian church: II Corinthians 11: 2 For I am jealous for you with God's kind of jealousy; since I promised to present you as a pure virgin in marriage to your one husband, the Messiah; 3 and I fear that somehow your minds may be seduced away from simple and pure devotion to the Messiah, just as Havah (Eve) was deceived by the serpent and his craftiness. 4 For if someone comes and tells you about some other Yeshua than the one we told you about, or if you receive a spirit different from the one you received, or accept some so-called "good news" different from the Good News you already accepted, you bear with him well enough! [So, here comes the affinity for "originals" again. And we are to believe this guy has the "originals" of 2 Corinthians and claims they were written in Aramaic. Yet there are no manuscripts to vindicate this unless they are made up from thin air. This sleight of hand in dealing with the scriptures shows the apostasy of the age that Westcott and Hort showed in 1881.

There is no Aramaic English New Testament unless He made it up from the Greek manuscripts of one of two camps: either the Antiochian text base or the Alexandrian text base. Then did his own interpretive alterations based on his motive behind his private interpretation: perverting further the words of truth and making a liar out of God. But we know who the real liar is. Once again he also perverts the text this time in 2 Corinthians 11:2-4, "For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ. But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtilty, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ. For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or if ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him." and here is what he

didn't tell you about: 2 Corinthians 11:13-15, "For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works." Notice that Satan's ministers are transformed as the ministers of righteousness: so they will teach you to keep the law: Romans 10:3-4, "For they being ignorant of God's righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth." Notice that "...CHRIST IS THE END OF THE LAW FOR RIGHTEOUSNESS TO EVERY ONE THAT BELIEVETH." Looks like the law has an END in Christ. Now if you get anything from this get this: Galatians 3:22-26, "But the scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe. But before faith came, we were kept under the law, shut up unto the faith which should afterwards be revealed. Wherefore the law was our schoolmaster to bring us unto Christ, that we might be justified by faith. But after that faith is come, we are no longer under a schoolmaster. For ye are all the children of God by faith in Christ Jesus." So this guy is completely WRONG and so are his teachers: Romans 8:1-4, "There is therefore now no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit. For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the law of sin and death. For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh: That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit." and Romans 8:9, "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his."]

9. If, as you believe, the Torah was "abolished" and the "Old Testament" is to be ignored - why are Christians still teaching the Ten Commandments or telling church members to tithe? Isn't it hypocritical to pick and choose what we want to believe of the "Old Testament? [No one ever said the Old Testament is to be abolished or ignored, why would you listen to such lies, oh, its because you have lied yourself. Why do you not believe the New Testament? Isn't it hypocritical to pick and choose what you want to believe of the "New Testament"? Yet the New Testament does pick and choose what it tells us about the Old Testament even in your answer below.]

Answer: The Ten Commandments appear in two places in the Bible: Both are in the "Old Testament" - Exodus, chapter 20; and in Deuteronomy, chapter 5. Neither version conveniently lists the commandments from one to ten, which is presumably how they would appear when posted. In the most commonly referenced passage, Exodus 20, the commandments cover 17 verses and encompass at least 14 imperatives. Concerning tithing, in the New Testament tithe and tithing are found eight times (Matthew 23:23; Luke 11:42; 18:12; Hebrews 7:5-6,8-9). But all of these passages refer to the Old Testament usage "under the law!" [See how he is placing you back "under the law"? I have already given you the verses that tell you that you are

NOT under the law. Why just the Ten Commandments, what happened to the whole law? Oh well; I guess that doesn't matter to him. Now let's see the verses that he is saying list the law of the TEN Commandments. He claims God's Ten Commandments are a presumption of a list of ten. So how many are they? Oh, in three places it lists that they are TEN: Exodus 34:28, "And he was there with the LORD forty days and forty nights; he did neither eat bread, nor drink water. And he wrote upon the tables the words of the covenant, the ten commandments." and Deuteronomy 4:13, "And he declared unto you his covenant, which he commanded you to perform, even ten commandments; and he wrote them upon two tables of stone." And Deuteronomy 10:4, "And he wrote on the tables, according to the first writing, the ten commandments, which the LORD spake unto you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the day of the assembly: and the LORD gave them unto me." Funny how the Lord calls this guy a LIAR. His 14 imperatives are miss-counted according to the LORD that gave them. AND tithing is NOT ONE OF THEM. The tithe is not under the law, it was first given before the promise and before the law: Genesis 14:19, "And he blessed him, and said, Blessed be Abram of the most high God, possessor of heaven and earth: And blessed be the most high God, which hath delivered thine enemies into thy hand. And he gave him tithes of all." This guy is a know-nothing Bible blockhead. The tithe given under the law would then be a different thing and the fact that it is in the New Testament does not negate that tithing was around way before the law. Notice he will not quote the passages that he does not want you to read, just refers to them: as in an example: Matthew 23:23-25, "Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye pay tithe of mint and anise and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone. Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess." Lord Jesus Christ is NOT telling you to tithe in the New Testament: this is a REBUKE! Luke 11:42-44, "But woe unto you, Pharisees! for ye tithe the mint and rue and all manner of herbs, and pass over judgment and the love of God: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone. Woe unto you, Pharisees! for ye love the uppermost seats in the synagogues, and greetings in the markets. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye are as graves which appear not, and the men that walk over them are not aware of them." is the same thing a REBUKE! If that weren't enough this guy sides with the Pharisee in this parable: Luke 18:9-14, "And he spake this parable unto certain which trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others: Two men went up into the temple to pray; the one a Pharisee, and the other a publican. The Pharisee stood and prayed thus with himself, God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this publican. I fast twice in the week, I give tithes of all that I possess. And the publican, standing afar off, would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner. I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other: for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted." How is this a proof text to what he is saying? Then we have Hebrews 7:1-12, "For

this Melchisedec, king of Salem, priest of the most high God, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him; To whom also Abraham gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of righteousness, and after that also King of Salem, which is, King of peace; Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God; abideth a priest continually. Now consider how great this man was, unto whom even the patriarch Abraham gave the tenth of the spoils. And verily they that are of the sons of Levi, who receive the office of the priesthood, have a commandment to take tithes of the people according to the law, that is, of their brethren, though they come out of the loins of Abraham: But he whose descent is not counted from them received tithes of Abraham, and blessed him that had the promises. And without all contradiction the less is blessed of the better. And here men that die receive tithes; but there he receiveth them, of whom it is witnessed that he liveth. And as I may so say, Levi also, who receiveth tithes, payed tithes in Abraham. For he was yet in the loins of his father, when Melchisedec met him. If therefore perfection were by the Levitical priesthood, (for under it the people received the law,) what further need was there that another priest should rise after the order of Melchisedec, and not be called after the order of Aaron? For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law." So this guy is once again a bald faced LIAR! He is expecting that you will not READ the Bible for yourself to see if what he is saying is true or not.]

In view of the above, it seems that today's church pastors should never ask for tithes because, according to the Christian train of thought, tithes are "old testament"..... [Yes tithes ARE Old Testament - So what. The type of giving is there and giving NOT under the law can be equated with the tithe that Abram gave which was NOT UNDER THE LAW. In light of the New Testament so because the priesthood CHANGED from Aaron to Melchisedec "...there is made of necessity a change also of the law." I guess this guy missed this; or has an ulterior motive in LYING about what the Bible SAYS.]

10. Does Scripture command us to celebrate the birth or resurrection of the Messiah via Christmas and Easter? What's more, is it okay to lie to your children about the existence of Santa Claus and egg-laying rabbits? What do these things have to do with Yeshua's birth and death? For those who want to answer: "It's fun; it's tradition", then please check the Bible to see what God says about man-made traditions.... [I don't celebrate the birth or death or resurrection of Lord Jesus Christ: both the Mass and Easter are PAGAN, this is a non-issue for true Bible Believers. This may hold for Roman Catholics, but true Bible Believers know that ROME is and has been and always will be PAGAN BABYLON: Revelation 17:5, "And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH." The chapter and verse: 1 + 7 + 5 = 13; the number of super-caps words in the verse are 13 and there are 65 letters of the super-caps which is 5 x 13. That makes seven 13s. This is the one spoken of in Revelation 18:24, "And in her was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth." Lord Jesus Christ was crucified at the hands of ROME. Satan-Claus is

the Antichrist view of Lord Jesus Christ at the Second Coming: white hair, red garment on a white horse. Easter is mentioned in the Bible in relation to a pagan: Acts 12:1, "Now about that time Herod the king stretched forth his hands to vex certain of the church. And he killed James the brother of John with the sword. And because he saw it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to take Peter also. (Then were the days of unleavened bread.) And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after Easter to bring him forth to the people." Herod was a murderous tyrannical villain whose practice was paganism thus the given time of the days of unleavened bread and NOT the passover was called the pagan feast day of Easter which comes from Genesis 14:5, "And in the fourteenth year came Chedorlaomer, and the kings that were with him, and smote the Rephaims in Ashteroth Karnaim, and the Zuzims in Ham, and the Emims in Shaveh Kiriathaim," and Joshua 12:4, "And the coast of Og king of Bashan, which was of the remnant of the giants, that dwelt at Ashteroth and at Edrei," This city was named after a god (devil): Judges 2:13, "And they forsook the LORD, and served Baal and Ashteroth." and Judges 10:6, "And the children of Israel did evil again in the sight of the LORD, and served Baalim, and Ashteroth, and the gods of Syria, and the gods of Zidon, and the gods of Moab, and the gods of the children of Ammon, and the gods of the Philistines, and forsook the LORD, and served not him." again in 1 Samuel 7:3-4, "And Samuel spake unto all the house of Israel, saying, If ye do return unto the LORD with all your hearts, then put away the strange gods and Ashteroth from among you, and prepare your hearts unto the LORD, and serve him only: and he will deliver you out of the hand of the Philistines. Then the children of Israel did put away Baalim and Ashteroth, and served the LORD only." also see: 1 Samuel 12:10. Then later on: 1 Kings 11:5, "For Solomon went after Ashtoreth the goddess of the Zidonians, and after Milcom the abomination of the Ammonites." So WHO is Ashtoreth: 1 Kings 11:33, "Because that they have forsaken me, and have worshipped Ashtoreth the goddess of the Zidonians, Chemosh the god of the Moabites, and Milcom the god of the children of Ammon, and have not walked in my ways, to do that which is right in mine eyes, and to keep my statutes and my judgments, as did David his father." Ashtoreth the goddess? and who is Chemosh the god? and who is Milcom the god? also see: 2 Kings 23:13. This goddess is the same as the queen of heaven: Jeremiah 7:18, "The children gather wood, and the fathers kindle the fire, and the women knead their dough, to make cakes to the queen of heaven, and to pour out drink offerings unto other gods, that they may provoke me to anger." Rome calls her Mary the mother of Jesus which is a lie; nevertheless, Rome is a great private interpreter of the Bible so they made Christ the surname of Mary as well calling her a mediatrix and co-redeemer and say of her that she was also virgin born making Mary Christ, and Rome created the mass in place of the Lord's Supper calling the mass a sacrament and a celebration and a sacrifice: hence Mary Christ Mass which was perverted into Merry Christmas. A term repugnant to true Bible Believers.]

Answer: You won't find Christmas and Easter anywhere in the Bible. Those "holy days" were strictly man's idea - in the same way that Aaron's sons, Nadab and Abihu, decided to offer a

sacrifice with "unauthorized fire before the LORD," thus disobeying His instructions. For that, they were immediately consumed by God's fire...So what makes us today think it's okay to "add or subtract" anything to the commands to keep His seven holy feast days? (Please see The Pagan Origins of Christmas and The Pagan Origins of Easter.) **[I have already shown that Easter IS in the Bible as a Pagan day and explained the Christmas and Easter pagan Romanism of these things from the Bible unlike this guy that just gives his own understanding of the things I have proved as a Bible Believer.]**

What's more, it is NOT okay to lie to your children about the existence of Santa Claus and egg-laying rabbits. Lying is a sin! The Ninth Commandment is: Thou shalt not lie. Can you honestly say that you've never said to your kids: "Santa Claus is coming soon to bring you toys, so you'd better be good!" or "Look! The Easter bunny brought you some colored eggs!" Is it okay to tell a "little" lie? Since when? Is human tradition worth the cost of making us guilty of sinning in God's eyes? **[So when you lie its ok? You just said "The Ninth Commandment is: Thou shalt not lie." WAIT: that isn't the 9th according to what you said earlier or can't you count. So why are you giving this in an Old English phraseology? "thou" and "shalt" when that is not Hebrew. Are you trying to get some kind of credibility by resorting to the King James text? Seems kind of inconsistent on his part. Or maybe he is such a LIAR he cannot discern what is right or wrong.]**

11. When exactly did Gentiles come into faith, and how can they claim that THEIR "church" is the "original church that Jesus built" when none of them observe Torah, and MOST of them ignore the seventh day Sabbath and the Biblical feasts! Does YOUR church in any way resemble anything that Yeshua originally taught? Please check the Bible thoroughly before answering. **[Here we go again with "original" and "originally" where does the Bible say anything about "original" anywhere about anything? The word is NOT in the Bible. Not unless YOU put it there and pervert the Bible. This affinity for originality comes from textual criticism and the reconstructionist methods of biblical critics. I am no concerned with it aside from the fact that you are. I have never claimed that my "church" building was the original church: I bet yours is, right? The original church building: pft. You know a liar can be obvious to those of us that KNOW the truth. When you LIE, you flaunt your ignorance to a degree of buffoonery that only a lost person could do. There is nothing in what this idiot is saying that "resemble anything" in the Bible.]**

In the beginning, Gentiles were considered to be immoral heathens and Pagans because they worshipped every kind of "god" except Yahweh. But yet, it was always YHWH's will for the Gentile nations to receive His Salvation (Is. 49:6, 42:6). God told Abraham that through him all the nations of the earth would be blessed (Gen. 12:1-3). Early Messianic Jews did not understand that this was God's will and proclaimed the Good News of the Messiah only to Jewish people. The controversy in the first century was not if it was Jewish to believe in Yeshua but whether Gentiles could be included without having to become Jewish. This was addressed by the Jerusalem council (Acts 15:1-31). (NOTE: Many people have misunderstood the proceedings at the Jerusalem Council to mean Gentiles were only required to perform certain acts - without realizing that Acts 15 is referring to man-made laws and not to the Torah itself!) **[Gentiles?**

Abraham was NOT a Jew: he was a Gentile! Why say "Yahweh" and then say "YWHW's will" and then quote from a Gentile in Genesis 12:1-3? Abraham is the father of faith for everyone. NOT the LAW.]

When the early Messianic Jews took the Good News of the Messiah to the Gentiles, a great number were brought into the Messianic faith. By the end of the first century A.D., the number of Gentile believers outnumbered the Jewish believers by a ratio of two to one! This occurred primarily because there were (and still are) more Gentiles in the world than Jewish people. Through the years, as the number of Gentile believers increased, they began to dominate this Messianic faith. Some Gentile believers, not understanding the Jewish roots of their faith and God's eternal covenant with Israel, wanted to split off and form a separate religion divorced from their Jewish roots (Romans 11:1-2). This "de-Judaizing process" continued until Gentile Christianity emerged as the dominant representative faith in the Messiah. In one of the greatest paradoxes in history, it became alien for a Jew to believe in Yeshua as his Messiah! **[No this numeric difference is because: John 1:11, "He came unto his own, and his own received him not." and Mark 7:9, "And he said unto them, Full well ye reject the commandment of God, that ye may keep your own tradition." and John 5:44-47, "How can ye believe, which receive honour one of another, and seek not the honour that cometh from God only? Do not think that I will accuse you to the Father: there is one that accuseth you, even Moses, in whom ye trust. For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words?" And Romans 11:25, "For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, lest ye should be wise in your own conceits; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in." and Romans 11:28, "As concerning the gospel, they are enemies for your sakes: but as touching the election, they are beloved for the fathers' sakes." and Romans 10:3-4, "For they being ignorant of God's righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth." and Acts 21:25, "As touching the Gentiles which believe, we have written and concluded that they observe no such thing, save only that they keep themselves from things offered to idols, and from blood, and from strangled, and from fornication." What was the "no such thing" in the passage? Acts 21:18-24, "And the day following Paul went in with us unto James; and all the elders were present. And when he had saluted them, he declared particularly what things God had wrought among the Gentiles by his ministry. And when they heard it, they glorified the Lord, and said unto him, Thou seest, brother, how many thousands of Jews there are which believe; and they are all zealous of the law: And they are informed of thee, that thou teachest all the Jews which are among the Gentiles to forsake Moses, saying that they ought not to circumcise their children, neither to walk after the customs. What is it therefore? the multitude must needs come together: for they will hear that thou art come. Do therefore this that we say to thee: We have four men which have a vow on them; Them take, and purify thyself with them, and be at charges with them, that they may shave their heads: and all may know that those things, whereof they were informed concerning thee, are nothing; but that thou thyself also walkest orderly, and**

keep the law." Yet when he did this the Jews found him and beat him and would have killed him. Nevertheless the "no such thing" has to do with the customs, including circumcision which was given after the promise and in the Law. This is NOT required; ergo: a change of the LAW! see: Hebrews 7:12.]

Yeshua said He came NOT to abolish but to fulfill/establish/confirm (Matthew 5:17). He also said that until all has been accomplished, and heaven and earth have passed away, not one "jot or tittle"/the smallest letter or stroke would disappear from the Torah (Matthew 5:18). Has ALL been accomplished yet? Have heaven and earth passed away yet? If not, why are you ignoring Torah? **[I have already covered this repetitive distraction.]**

Since Yeshua said He did NOT come to abolish Torah, we MUST adhere to Torah! The Bible clearly shows that Yeshua was a devout Jew who did not come to abolish the Torah of His Father and bring a new "religion"; but to magnify, establish, and confirm it! As we continue to read Matthew 5, verse 19 and 20 clench the previous two: 19 So whoever disobeys the least of these mitzvot (words/commands) and teaches others to do so will be called the least in the Kingdom of Heaven. But whoever obeys them and so teaches will be called great in the Kingdom of Heaven. 20 For I tell you that unless your righteousness is far greater than that of the Torah-teachers and P'rushim, you will certainly not enter the Kingdom of Heaven. 12. The Bible in several places states that God's Word is FOREVER. "Forever, O Lord, Your word is settled in heaven (Psalm 119:89). Did "forever" end at the beginning of the "New Testament"? Has "forever" come and gone? **[This guy cannot quote the Bible, and I have already covered this above but perhaps this guy is STUCK in the MUD forever! This guy can not understand what the Kingdom of Heaven is, and cannot discern the difference between God's Word and God's word. This comes from that affinity for "originals" and not from what God has SAID in the Bible.]**

Answer: Yahweh said His Word is FOREVER. "Forever" didn't end last Tuesday, so why are Christians negating His Torah NOW? "Forever, O Lord, Your word is settled in heaven (Psalm 119:89). "As for Me, this is My covenant with them," says the LORD: "My Spirit which is upon you, and My words which I have put in your mouth shall not depart from your mouth, nor from the mouth of your offspring, nor from the mouth of your offspring's offspring," says the LORD, "from now and forever." (Also see Isaiah 59:21 and 1 Chronicles 16:15.) **[That is not just Torah and that is quoted in the New Testament by Peter who said Paul's writings are scripture, and ALL scripture is given by inspiration not WAS given. Let's see this guys ERROR: 1 Peter 1:23-25, "Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever. For all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you." and 2 Peter 3:15-16, "And account that the longsuffering of our Lord is salvation; even as our beloved brother Paul also according to the wisdom given unto him hath written unto you; As also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as they do also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction." with 2 Timothy 3:15-17, "And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are**

able to make thee wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus. All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: That the man of God may be perfect, throughly furnished unto all good works." and further: 2 Peter 1:19-21, "We have also a more sure word of prophecy; whereunto ye do well that ye take heed, as unto a light that shineth in a dark place, until the day dawn, and the day star arise in your hearts: Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost." We have the finished prophecy from Genesis to Revelation: all inspired when it was SPOKEN it IS given by inspiration of God and Timothy HAD the HOLY SCRIPTURES from a child, and Peter called Paul's writings SCRIPTURES so they are also inspired NOW. IN ENGLISH! The affinity with "originals" has nothing to do with the Bible it is Satanic: Luke 4:8, "And Jesus answered and said unto him, Get thee behind me, Satan: for it is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve." this is why "...Get thee behind me, Satan..." is taken OUT of the modern versions!]

"Forever" hasn't come unless "everything has happened that must happen" and "heaven and earth have passed away" (Rev.21:1). Has the heavenly Yerushalayim (Jerusalem) already come down from heaven to the earth (Rev.21:2)? Has Satan already been punished and cast into the lake of fire? (Rev.20:10.) Has the Great White Throne Judgment occurred already? (Rev.20:11-12.) Has Yeshua already returned and established the Kingdom of God (Rev. 10:1-7 and Rev.19:6, 11-16)? [Already covered this as there was a change to the priesthood there MUST also be a change of the LAW! Guess this guy just can't read.]

13. God said in Proverbs 4:2 - "I give you good instruction: Do not forsake my Torah (teaching, instruction, law)." What makes you think Jesus abolished that command? [This is just being repeditive, and already covered.]

God clearly told us NOT to ignore His teachings! The overwhelming decadence of today's world is clear evidence as to how much we have strayed from God's Word.... [No the Bible is God's word, and God's words. The Bible or the Scriptures are NEVER God's Word, that constitutes Blasphemy because Lord Jesus Christ is the Word. This guy needs to get born again. He is sounding more and more like a lost person who can't read.]

14. Do you really believe that by ignoring Torah you are provoking the Jews to jealousy (Romans 11:11:12)? Do you think they're going to be jealous of the Christians who ignore the true Sabbath and the Biblical feasts, and ask the Jews to give up the first two-thirds of the Bible (which contain all of Yahweh's "forever" commands) and adhere to the last third only? [Once again this has been dealt with above.]

Answer: Christians have killed millions of Jews and been responsible for persecuting them in one way or another for centuries. And now you want to tell them that the God you borrowed from them - the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob - has come in the form of a man they are supposed to worship now, and who demands they throw away His Torah because Jesus' death on

the cross automatically negated all of Yahweh's original teachings? You want them to believe they should throw out the original God-breathed Word and adhere to "New Testament" writings only? You want them to give up the idea of the original Sabbath and the Biblical feasts - the very feasts that Yeshua is STILL in the process of fulfilling??? (Please see Yeshua Has Fulfilled Only the First Four Feasts) and Jewish Holidays, in a Nutshell. [**Actually Christians have NOT killed millions of Jews; The idiot things Catholics are Christians! And once again he makes that old error of ORIGINALITY; but here he makes the blunder of saying: "...the original God-breathed Word..." lying like a dead dog. He has never seen any original manuscripts and had never see the Word; and cannot understand ANYTING about this subject, because he is brainwashed by modern scholars who believe in the Reconstructionism philosophy of textual criticism claiming that the closer to the originals the better, then going to Egypt for their light on originality. This guy is just as blind and cannot see what he is saying and how wrong it is. He will actually publish this LIE and show how foolish he is in his thinking all the while making a liar out of God.**]

Please think about how you would feel if someone came and told you to throw Jesus out the window and start believing in some strange god that veered Yahweh's original Word! In order to win the heart of a Jew, you must first remember the correct Name of "Yeshua" who was Torah observant, and realize that the reason the Jews do not and cannot believe in your "Jesus" is because (1) Jesus was NOT the Torah observant Messiah who actually walked this earth; and (2) their "spiritual eyes" have not yet been opened to the Truth of Yeshua. Yahweh Himself will open their eyes in due time. [**"...original Word..."; "...original name..."; "...original Torah..."; "...original Jew..." why all the fuss about originality when God never says anything about going to any original anything? Isaiah 8:20, "To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them." THIS word is present tense: and that is NOT just the Torah. Jesus observed the Bible including the books of Moses you call the Torah; but he also observed Jonah and that was the sign he said was the only sign given to the Jews at that time. By the way the word Jews is not right in your original, so why are you so inconsistent? If it is Yahweh and Yerushalayim and Yeshua, then why not Yews? This affinity for originality is Satanic; it is to keep you from believing what God said. They are NOT consistent with this, yet they require us to be. They do not quote the Bible yet they require us to quote it. They pervert judgment making Jesus Christ (the Word) equal to the Bible (the word of God) and they do not care for being a Christian: therefore by their own profession they are LOST and will die in their sins.**]

Yahweh warns us not to forsake His Torah. Since Yeshua said He didn't come to abolish Torah, we should be taking this VERY seriously! Yeshua didn't abolish - He tightened and strengthened God's original teaching and instruction! Take, for instance, the things He espoused in Matthew 5: Matthew 5: 21 "You have heard that the ancients were told, 'YOU SHALL NOT COMMIT MURDER' and 'Whoever commits murder shall be liable to the court.' 22 "But I say to you that everyone who is angry with his brother shall be guilty before the court; and whoever says to his brother, 'You good-for-nothing,' shall be guilty before the supreme court; and whoever says,

'You fool,' shall be guilty enough to go into the fiery hell." [This fool thinks I am worried about his foolishness by saying this: if he does not believe that Jesus is the name above every name: and he does not believe to the saving of the soul, he will die in his sins and wake up in Hell Fire and Damnation. The LAW will condemn him as guilty. Jesus Christ said: Luke 12:20, "But God said unto him, Thou fool, this night thy soul shall be required of thee: then whose shall those things be, which thou hast provided?" Jesus said that GOD SAID: "Thou fool..." and Paul also said: 1 Corinthians 15:36, "Thou fool, that which thou sowest is not quickened, except it die:" so according to this "moron" and "FOOL" God and Jesus and Paul are all going to Hell! What a foolish idiot! What sends a man to hell is rejecting Jesus Christ as personal saviour; not disobeying the law, you already did that: we all have. If you have offended in ONE point of the law you are guilty of ALL. The ONLY way you make it OUT of hell is by FAITH IN LORD JESUS CHRIST ALONE.]

Matthew 5: 27 "You have heard that it was said, 'YOU SHALL NOT COMMIT ADULTERY'; 28 but I say to you that everyone who looks at a woman with lust for her has already committed adultery with her in his heart. Does this sound like someone who "abolished" God's original teaching and instruction? [This was before the New Testament was instituted and in force. He was talking to Jews that were still under the law. And was dealing with the FACT that the law was not an outward thing in the act of adultery like the law says, but the lust of the heart was the sin without actually doing the act. There is no law anywhere in the Old Testament against this, the law says: Exodus 20:14, "Thou shalt not commit adultery." This IS the act of compilation outside of marriage. However, Lord Jesus Christ goes deeper into the heart of the problem with mankind in dealing with the heart sins.]

Yeshua's intent was not to abolish or weaken or emasculate the Laws of Yahweh, revealed in the Old Testament, but to expand and magnify them to their full spiritual intent and conclusion; to show their full meaning, purpose, and relevance; to reveal their spiritual and supernatural nature and basis; to show that the commandments lead us by necessity to FAITH in him as the Messiah. We do not earn salvation by works, but by Faith in Yeshua the Messiah, who died for our sins, and who now gives us his spirit to enable us to fulfill the righteousness of the Law (Acts 2:38; 5:32; Gal.2:20). The Messiah did not replace the Law of Yahweh with Faith alone, but rather gave us inward Faith, through his spirit, to enable us to observe the holy commandments of God! Yet, with the advent of Christianity, the "Old Testament", along with God's original teaching and instruction, basically became null and void because they mistakingly think "Torah" equates to "law". BIG MISTAKE!.... [So this idiot is saying faith but also we have to keep the commandments... negating what the Bible says by his foolishness: Romans 4:13-25, For the promise, that he should be the heir of the world, was not to Abraham, or to his seed, through the law, but through the righteousness of faith. For if they which are of the law be heirs, faith is made void, and the promise made of none effect: Because the law worketh wrath: for where no law is, there is no transgression. Therefore it is of faith, that it might be by grace; to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of the law, but to that also which is of the faith of Abraham; who is the father of us all, (As it is written, I have made thee a father of many nations,) before him whom he believed,

even God, who quickeneth the dead, and calleth those things which be not as though they were. Who against hope believed in hope, that he might become the father of many nations, according to that which was spoken, So shall thy seed be. And being not weak in faith, he considered not his own body now dead, when he was about an hundred years old, neither yet the deadness of Sara's womb: He staggered not at the promise of God through unbelief; but was strong in faith, giving glory to God; And being fully persuaded that, what he had promised, he was able also to perform. And therefore it was imputed to him for righteousness. Now it was not written for his sake alone, that it was imputed to him; But for us also, to whom it shall be imputed, if we believe on him that raised up Jesus our Lord from the dead; Who was delivered for our offences, and was raised again for our justification."']

The apostle Paul knew this truth and wrote to the believers in Rome: Does it follow that we abolish Torah by this trusting? Heaven forbid! On the contrary, we confirm Torah (Romans 3:31). Not one "jot or tittle", was abolished from the Law of God. **[So this guy can take the verse out of context all he wants, I can read: Romans 3:28-31, "Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law. Is he the God of the Jews only? is he not also of the Gentiles? Yes, of the Gentiles also: Seeing it is one God, which shall justify the circumcision by faith, and uncircumcision through faith. Do we then make void the law through faith? God forbid: yea, we establish the law." The establishing of the law is in the conclusion; the conclusion is NOT in the establishing of the law: the conclusion is "...a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law." So then this irreverent moron and inconsistent fool says "jot or tittle" while before saying yud or a stroke and below he says yod or tittle, he can't make up his mind what to do with that. He is inconsistent and doesn't know what that means. He thinks that it means God cannot make a rule that supersedes a previous rule. God did away with the law IN CHRIST, and that is how the law is established IN HIM, because HE fulfilled the law; and when we get IN HIM, we also fulfill the law IN HIM.]**

Some have written to The Refiner's Fire to remind us that "animal sacrifices were abolished", and that they used to be part of "the law". To that, we say: "Because Yeshua was our final SIN Offering and we don't have to do animal sacrifices anymore, how does that prove that the ENTIRE Torah was abolished? Yeshua abolished the need for animal sacrifices, and He came to abolish the "rabbinical" teachings of the time that had people so bound up in legalism, that they were afraid to get out of bed on the Sabbath because they feared that, with the effort of rising from bed, they might be guilty of "working". The teachings of the rabbis at the time had made the entire Torah a burden. Acts 21:20-24 tells us: Acts 21: 20. And when they heard (it) they glorified Elohim. And they said to him: "Our brother, You see how many myriads there are in Judaea who have believed: and these are all zealous for Torah! 21. And it has been told them, of you, that you teach all the Jews that are among the Gentiles to depart from Moshe, by telling them not to circumcise their children, and not to observe the rites of Torah. 22. Now, because they have heard that you have arrived here, 23. do what we tell you. We have four men, who have vowed to purify themselves. 24. Take them, and go and purify yourself with them, and pay

the expenses along with them, as they will shave their heads; that every one may know, that what is said against you is false, and that you fulfill and observe Torah. (AENT) [**This guy is totally inconsistent with all the Yeshua, and Elohim, and yet says Judaea and Jews. Why not Yudaea and Yews? No it is not just the final sin offering, Jesus BECAME SIN and was NOT of the Aaronic priesthood: which was DONE AWAY WITH in Christ. That removed forever the law out of the way nailing it to the cross. 2 Corinthians 5:21, "For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him." and Colossians 2:14, "Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross;" Hebrews 7:21-24, "(For those priests were made without an oath; but this with an oath by him that said unto him, The Lord sware and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchisedec:) By so much was Jesus made a surety of a better testament. And they truly were many priests, because they were not suffered to continue by reason of death: But this man, because he continueth ever, hath an unchangeable priesthood." So the New Testament is a BETTER TESTAMENT than the Old Testament because this one has an unchangeable priesthood unlike Aaron's priesthood under Moses. Lord Jesus Christ's priesthood goes back before the promise to Abraham when Abram was before Melchisedec a KING & PRIEST like Lord Jesus Christ is. And where the word of a KING is: Ecclesiastes 8:4, "Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?" Who do you think you are saying God cannot take the law out of the way, when God said he did. You are still trying to make a liar out of God."]**

If Yeshua had abolished the "law", then why did the apostle Paul observe it with four other Church men in Yerushalayim 29 years AFTER the crucifixion of the Messiah? [**As we have said no one abolished the law that is not Bible. But we have already answered this. Yerushalayim? Perhaps he means Jerusalem, so why not just say The City of Peace: why make it unintelligible. And why be inconsistent: why use English letters if you want to get to the "original" why not just always speak Hebrew using Hebrew letters. Why be a hypocrite?]**

What does this tell us about the true "religion" that Yeshua the Messiah proclaimed? What does it tell us about His true gospel? Paul wrote, Therefore, what are we to say? That the Torah is sinful? Heaven forbid! Rather, the function of the Torah was that without it, I would not have known what sin is. For example, I would not have become conscious of what greed is if the Torah had not said, "Though shalt not covet." (Rom.7:7). He further added, So the Torah is holy; that is, the commandment is holy, just and good. (verse 12). He went on to say that Yahweh's Law is spiritual (Rom.7:14) - and that which is spiritual is eternal. Paul also wrote, We concentrate not on what is seen but on what is not seen, since things seen are temporary, but things not seen are eternal. (II Cor.4:18). If the Laws of Yahweh were all abolished, as some believe, then Yeshua did away with what was clearly holy, righteous, good, and spiritual.... [**This guy has to correct the Bible to make it read they way which it doesn't; so he adopted the readings of the Alexandrian manuscripts and the philosophy of the Alexandrian cult. You can just re-translate the Bible with your own particular persuasion and teach whatever you**

want to teach. He has missed the whole point of Romans 7 by his lack of understanding and removing verses from their context: Romans 7:5-13, "For when we were in the flesh, the motions of sins, which were by the law, did work in our members to bring forth fruit unto death. But now we are delivered from the law, that being dead wherein we were held; that we should serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter. What shall we say then? Is the law sin? God forbid. Nay, I had not known sin, but by the law: for I had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet. But sin, taking occasion by the commandment, wrought in me all manner of concupiscence. For without the law sin was dead. For I was alive without the law once: but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died. And the commandment, which was ordained to life, I found to be unto death. For sin, taking occasion by the commandment, deceived me, and by it slew me. Wherefore the law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good. Was then that which is good made death unto me? God forbid. But sin, that it might appear sin, working death in me by that which is good; that sin by the commandment might become exceeding sinful." Then the perversion of the words of God in 2 Corinthians 4:18, "While we look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen: for the things which are seen are temporal; but the things which are not seen are eternal." which has to do with: 2 Corinthians 4:16, "For which cause we faint not; but though our outward man perish, yet the inward man is renewed day by day. For our light affliction, which is but for a moment, worketh for us a far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory;" US, not the words of God: the eternal weight of glory is the new man inside that one day will be manifest physically in us. His private interpretation is ridiculous and foolish. He is a Bible blockhead and thus it is written of him: Hebrews 5:12, "For when for the time ye ought to be teachers, ye have need that one teach you again which be the first principles of the oracles of God; and are become such as have need of milk, and not of strong meat. For every one that useth milk is unskilful in the word of righteousness: for he is a babe. But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil."]

Those who teach contrary to the Torah, which Yeshua upheld - and Paul also taught - are false preachers and prophets. Paul wrote of them: II Corinthians 11: 13 The fact is that such men are pseudo-emissaries: they tell lies about their work and masquerade as emissaries of the Messiah. 14 There is nothing surprising in that, for the Adversary himself masquerades as an angel of light; 15 so it's no great thing if his workers masquerade as servants of righteousness. They will meet the end their deeds deserve. [I have already quoted this passage above, and shown that these ministers of Satan are teaching works of righteousness for salvation in keeping the law as part of salvation or some form of personal righteousness after salvation. Both are satanic lies. He can't quote the Bible because he has to resort to the originals that no one has, so he has to believe a lie in order to believe anything. Because he cannot quote the truth correctly I will again: 2 Corinthians 11:13-15, "For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their

works." Who are the "for such" referring to? 2 Corinthians 11:3, 'But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtilty, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ. For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or if ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him.' There is another Jesus, another gospel and another spirit that these ministers of righteousness are teaching works salvation based on the law making the word of God of none effect. Galatians 1:6, "I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another gospel: Which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ. But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed. As we said before, so say I now again, If any man preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed." This guy is perverting the gospel of Christ which makes him accursed. What a fool!]

So - is "the Law" still valid today? You bet it is! It is a standard for righteousness. Yeshua said that not one yod or tittle would pass away, and that those who love Him will keep His commandments. The Law is not for salvation, but for sanctification (being Holy). No one can keep the Law perfectly, but striving to live as Adonai commands brings us closer to His desire for our lives. Christians need to remember that, while God changed the Covenant, He never changed His Torah. Yeshua came to abolish the "rabbinical stuff", not GOD'S teachings. Messianic Judaism (if we have to give our faith a label) adheres to both, the "Old" and the "New" covenants. That does NOT make us weird or "off the mark" - nor does it make Messianic Judaism a "doctrine of demons" as many Christians suggest! We are merely OBEYING God according to what the Bible says. [The law is valid for the lost and is a standard of what is right, but NOT for righteousness: Romans 1:16-18, "For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. For therein is the righteousness of God revealed from faith to faith: as it is written, The just shall live by faith. For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness;" This is what this guy is doing holding the truth in unrighteousness by perverting what God said. Further Romans 3:21-28, "But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets; Even the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference: For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; To declare, I say, at this time his righteousness: that he might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus. Where is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay: but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law." Because: Romans 4:3-5, 'For what saith the scripture? Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness. Now to him that worketh is

the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness." Because it is NOT by works, but by FAITH: Romans 9:29-33, "And as Esaias said before, Except the Lord of Sabaoth had left us a seed, we had been as Sodoma, and been made like unto Gomorrha. What shall we say then? That the Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith. But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness. Wherefore? Because they sought it not by faith, but as it were by the works of the law. For they stumbled at that stumblingstone; As it is written, Behold, I lay in Sion a stumblingstone and rock of offence: and whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed." Because it is NOT by the works of the law: that is how you stumble at the stumblingstone like this guy is doing. Romans 10:3-4, "For they being ignorant of God's righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God. For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth." It says: "...CHRIST IS THE END OF THE LAW..." Prerequisite is "...to every one that believeth." Not for the unbeliever! 2 Corinthians 5:21, "For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him." because Galatians 2:21, "I do not frustrate the grace of God: for if righteousness come by the law, then Christ is dead in vain." This is in reverse with this guy and his teachings.]

[Now to get an understanding about this whole thing look at: Galatians 3:19-29, "Wherefore then serveth the law? It was added because of transgressions, till the seed should come to whom the promise was made; and it was ordained by angels in the hand of a mediator. Now a mediator is not a mediator of one, but God is one. Is the law then against the promises of God? God forbid: for if there had been a law given which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law. But the scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe. But before faith came, we were kept under the law, shut up unto the faith which should afterwards be revealed. Wherefore the law was our schoolmaster to bring us unto Christ, that we might be justified by faith. But after that faith is come, we are no longer under a schoolmaster. For ye are all the children of God by faith in Christ Jesus. For as many of you as have been baptized into Christ have put on Christ. There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. And if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise." Philippians 3:4-14, "Though I might also have confidence in the flesh. If any other man thinketh that he hath whereof he might trust in the flesh, I more: Circumcised the eighth day, of the stock of Israel, of the tribe of Benjamin, an Hebrew of the Hebrews; as touching the law, a Pharisee; Concerning zeal, persecuting the church; touching the righteousness which is in the law, blameless. But what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ. Yea doubtless, and I count all things but loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them but dung, that I may win Christ, And be found in him, not having mine own

righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith: That I may know him, and the power of his resurrection, and the fellowship of his sufferings, being made conformable unto his death; If by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead. Not as though I had already attained, either were already perfect: but I follow after, if that I may apprehend that for which also I am apprehended of Christ Jesus. Brethren, I count not myself to have apprehended: but this one thing I do, forgetting those things which are behind, and reaching forth unto those things which are before, I press toward the mark for the prize of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus."

Titus 3:5a, "Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us..."

Ephesians 2:8-9, "For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast."

THE END