

and the flaws in certain higher math we are dealing with the known universe that can be measured and calculated.

The idea that light is the fastest known thing and then some idiot comes up with a formula like: $E=mc^2$ to bend light and make a way to travel in space in theory. This is ridiculous. The idea that you can bend light has never been accomplished. You can reflect light, you can refract light, and you can split light. You cannot bend light by any known means scientifically in the lab or naturally anywhere in the universe. Einstein was either an idiot or genius. But and if he was a genius; he was only genius in how to make people think he was a genius. What came of his math? The atom bomb did? Great genius! He would have done better at shoveling snow or digging ditches. At least then he would have helped someone.

Using a science like mathematics to deceive people is a general rule; but the math being employed in order to do this is not actually the math of numbers but the math of abstracts and therefore is not numerical; nevertheless, it is functionally useful in the laws of mathematics, and theoretical math, and then abstract math, which goes beyond theory and ventures into somewhat of a wonderland and imaginal math. The laws of math can be represented in equations that do not use numbers but place holders. Given a, b, c; If $a + b = c$ then it is safe to assume that $b + a = c$. This is a rule of math. To make this a true statement numbers must be substituted for the letters. A different number for a different letter; and then the two sides of the $=$ must match in value. Given $a = 3$ and $c = 7$ then we can figure out what "b" is. Or given $b = 7$ and $c = 3$ then we can figure out what "a" is. In the rules of math that apply to this basic analytical form of math we see that the usefulness of these algebraic equations could prove to be beneficial in applications where a place holder for a value that can change would make auto-calculations and simulation possible. Given $a = 3$ and $b = 7$ and $c = \text{steps}$; if while $a < 7$ do $a = a + 1$; $a = b$. $c = 4$.

This is also following mathematic rules and can be done using place holders. However if you while constructing a programmable algebraic algorithm things can be very complicated; so I am trying to keep this simplified and minimal so as to help the understanding of these things to most everyone. There is no way to grapple with this field of study even in its elementary view without sufficient understanding of the rudiments of mathematics so for this study to proceed further and short study on the hierarchy of parenthetical math will prove to be most helpful.

As seen above in the graphic the equation that uses parentheses and the one that is exactly the same without parentheses do not have the same outcome because of the parenthetical hierarchy in math. The operands also have a hierarchy in math which must be followed to

the letter else you can come up with all sorts of answers but only ONE answer is correct based on the rules of mathematic hierarchy. In all mathematics the seven hierarchy of operands or operator precedence is:

1. Parenthesis
2. Exponentiation
3. Roots
4. Multiplication
5. Division
6. Addition
7. Subtraction

If a mathematical expression is preceded by one binary operator and followed by another, the operator higher on the list should be applied first. Where the parenthesis exists; that part of the expression takes the highest precedence in the order where the parenthesis exists in sequence.

The expression $7 + 3 \times 4$ is interpreted to have the value $7 + (3 \times 4) = 19$, not $(7 + 3) \times 4 = 48$. These conventions exist to eliminate ambiguity while allowing notation to be as brief as possible. If desired to override the precedence conventions, or even simply to emphasize them, parentheses () or sometimes brackets [] or braces { } for readability can indicate used as an alternate order or reinforce the default order to avoid confusion.

When exponents were first introduced in the 16th and 17th centuries, exponents took precedence over both addition and multiplication and could be placed only as a superscript to the right of their base. Thus $3 + 7^2 = 52$ and $3 \times 7^2 = 147$; to change the order of operations parentheses or brackets are used to explicitly denote precedence by grouping parts of an expression that should be evaluated first. Therefore the resulting equation $(7 + 3) \times 4 = 48$ to force addition to precede multiplication or $(3 + 7)^2 = 100$ to force addition to precede exponentiation.

These rules are the standards for all mathematics used in programming, spreadsheets: programs like Excel, and in all forms of mathematics without exception.

One way to employ a lie in math is to reorder these rules in a complicated structured algorithm so that it is embedded amongst so much other mathematical jargon that it is hidden from the glance and even from some more careful examination.

However, sometimes the lie is in a simpler form: The formula $e=mc^2$ is one such example of this simple lie with no one questioning its subtle implications.

Here is how the modern science community explains their lie:

Each of the letters of $E = mc^2$ stands for a particular physical quantity. Writing them out in full we get:

Energy equals mass times the speed of light squared

E = energy (measured in joules, J)

m = mass (measured in kilograms, kg)

c = the speed of light (measured in meters per second, MS^{-1}), but this needs to be "squared".



A moving steam train
Chemical energy
- thermal energy - kinetic energy

Examining each unit in the equation:

E = Energy

The word "energy" is actually quite new. Its modern use dates from around the middle of the nineteenth century, when it was beginning to be realized that the power that drove many different processes could be explained by **the concept of energy** being transferred from one system and form to another. For example, the trains of the

day were powered by coal. The coal was burned under a water-filled boiler to produce steam, which in turn pushed pistons attached to the wheels of the train, the wheels turned and the train was set in motion. In this example we start with locked up ("**latent**") **chemical energy** in the coal. The chemical energy is turned into **heat energy** (sometimes called "**thermal energy**") by burning the coal and boiling the water. Finally, the thermal energy is turned into the **energy of movement** ("**kinetic energy**") by forcing the steam into pistons to drive the wheels (see photo above).

There are many **other forms of energy**, such as **electrical**, **gravitational**, **nuclear**, and **strain energy**, such as that found in springs. However, as different as all these types of energy seem they can all be measured in the same way and **thought of as the same thing**. The unit that we use to measure energy, from whatever energy source, is the joule (J). Two ways in which we use this unit in everyday terms are:

- The total amount of energy in a system:

As noted above, one example is a lump of coal, which when burned will release a certain number of joules (J) of energy, mostly in the forms of heat and light.

Another, perhaps more common example, is that it takes about 1 joule to raise an apple by 1 meter.

- Energy used up over time: Most electrical devices have their power consumption rated in watts (W). A watt is a rate of energy consumption of one joule per second. So, if you have a light bulb in your room that's rated at 100 W it's using energy at a rate of 100 joules every second.

To go back to the second example in the first bullet point, lifting an apple by 1 meter every second would mean that there is a power output of 1 watt. For most people this would be quite easy and could be kept up for quite a long time, but now imagine lifting 100 apples a second, i.e. 100 W. This, in human terms, is a large power output, but nothing special for many electrical devices. It's not uncommon, for example, for a kettle to be rated at 2000 W or more.

So, to summarize, energy comes in many forms, and it can be transferred from one system to another. The basic unit of measurement for energy is the joule.

m = Mass

Mass is **strictly defined as a measure of a body's inertia**, i.e. **its resistance to acceleration**. Another and simpler way of defining mass is to say that it's **the total amount of matter in an object**. **This latter definition isn't strictly true**, but is good enough for our purposes here. Mass is measured in kilograms (kg).

Note: that **mass isn't the same as weight**, although it's often thought to be. **Weight is actually a measure of the gravitational force** (pull) felt by a body and is measured in newtons (N). For example, astronauts walking on the surface of the Moon have the same mass as on Earth but only weigh one sixth of what they would do back home. The reason for this is that while the mass of the astronauts hasn't changed, the pull of the Moon's gravity is only one sixth of what the Earth's gravitational pull is.

As with energy, **the idea** that mass is common to all objects is relatively new and again dates back to around the nineteenth century. Before that time different solids, liquids and gases


were all **thought to be** only loosely connected in **conceptual** terms. As with energy, we **now consider** that **mass is neither created or destroyed**, but is merely **changed from one form to another**, e.g. we can turn water from a solid (ice) into a liquid (water) and into a gas (steam), but its total mass doesn't change.

c = the Speed of Light

We use the letter c to represent the speed of light. The 'c' comes **from the Latin word "celeritas", meaning swift**, and it's a very apt definition - there is nothing faster than light. In a vacuum, such as space, it travels at close to 186,300 miles per second (300,000 km per second). That's **about seven times around the Earth every second**.


The speed of light was first accurately **estimated** by the Danish astronomer Ole Roemer (sometimes written as Rømer) during the 1670s. Up until that time everyone **assumed** that the speed of light was **infinite**, i.e. **that light arrived at its destination instantly**. This isn't such an unreasonable **assumption** given that when we look around us **light does indeed appear to reach us instantly**.

However, during the seventeenth century it was discovered that there was a problem in calculating the orbital time of Io, the innermost moon of Jupiter. It sometimes took "too long" to make an orbit of the planet and at other times was "too quick". It was thought that the problem must be due to a wobble in the orbit of Io, but Roemer took a different, and **very radical, view of the matter**. He argued that light, **instead of being everywhere instantly**, had a **finite speed** and that this would explain the problem of Io. The Earth was known to travel around the Sun (*if you are an evolutionist or the Sun is traveling around*



the Earth if you are a Bible Believer but either way) this meant that sometimes Jupiter was closer to the Earth and sometimes further away. Roemer realized that when the Earth was on the opposite side of the Sun from Jupiter the light from Io would

take longer to reach us than when the two bodies were on the same side and the same can be said of the Geocentricity view of the Bible however it is not the earth that is skewed but the sun and the other planetoids going around it:



(The properties would remain the same about the speed of light regardless which view you hold.)

This means that the light has to travel further and therefore takes longer, **providing**, of course, **that light has a speed in the first place**. During a meeting of the new Academy of Science in Paris in 1676 Roemer demonstrated that the amassed observational data of the astronomer Cassini indicated that Io would next appear at 5.25 pm on 9th November of that year. He himself predicted that it wouldn't appear until 10 minutes and 45 second later, using his theory that light had a finite speed. The day came and virtually every major observatory in Europe was ready to test the prediction. At 5.25 pm, the time predicted by Cassini, Io wasn't visible. Even at 5.35 pm Io wasn't visible. But at exactly 5.35 pm and 45 seconds it appeared, just as Roemer said it would. From this it was possible to make the first accurate measurement of the speed of light and the calculated figure was within one percent of **what we know it to be today**. *(Still assuming light has a speed!)*

You may think that that was the end of the matter and that Roemer was celebrated as a scientific genius, showered with honors and given a secure future. Sadly, that's far from what happened. He was only 21 when he made his discovery, while Cassini was a well-respected if egotistical elder scientist, who used his powerful friends to back him up to rubbish Roemer's ideas. Scientists, it seems, are human after all and this wasn't the first, or sadly, the last time that an ego got in the way of a new discovery. Roemer eventually gave up science completely and later became the director of the port of Copenhagen and then

head of the State Council of the Realm. It wasn't until 50 years later that **further experiments convinced the scientific community that Roemer had been right all along.**

What Does the Equation Mean?

The equation tells us that **energy and mass are, effectively, the same thing**, and it also tells us **how much energy is contained in a given mass**, or vice versa. Mass can be thought of as a very tightly packed bundle of energy. That **energy and mass are equivalent is quite an extraordinary claim and seems to go against two laws that had been established by scientists** before Einstein came along:

The Law of the Conservation of Mass:

As we have seen: mass can be thought of as the quantity of matter in an object. The law of the conservation of mass states that mass is always conserved. That is, whatever we do with matter **in a closed system** we will always have the same amount of substance at the end. For example, if we burn a log, the wood gets lighter as the fuel it contains is used up. However, if we gather together the ashes, all of the tiny smoke particles and the water vapor produced by the burning process and then weigh everything we find that the mass is exactly equal to the mass of the log that was burned. Mass is just mass, or so it seems, and while it can be chemically altered, such as burned, the total amount in any system remains the same.

The Law of the Conservation of Energy:

But what about the energy released in burning the log? The energy released in the burning process is "chemical energy", i.e. the breaking and reforming of chemical bonds between atoms and molecules. Burning the wood released the chemical energy locked up in it. No energy was created in the process and none was destroyed; **it was just changed from one sort of energy** (chemical bonds) **to other forms of energy** (heat and light). In other words the total amount of energy, just like the total amount of mass, remained the same.


After many experiments, notably by the scientist for whom the unit of energy is named, James Prescott Joule (1818 - 1889), it was established that **the total amount of energy in a closed system always remains the same.** This is known as the law of the conservation of energy.

What Einstein showed via his now famous equation was that mass and energy are in fact **the same thing**. **Converting one into the other doesn't therefore violate either of the two conservation laws**. Both quantities are conserved, although the state of the mass/energy may have changed. Each atom of a substance can be thought of as **a little ball of tightly packed**

energy that can be released under certain circumstances. Likewise, **we can take energy** (such as **particles** of light, called a photon) and **turn it into matter**. This was first achieved in the 1930s.

That light can be turned into matter **is perhaps a rather odd idea**, but this picture shows the first successful experiment in which this was done.

The picture below shows **the tracks of two matter particles that have been "created" after a high energy photon decayed** i.e. "Fell apart", in a cloud chamber. The high energy photon **is not in the visible range** and has entered the chamber from the bottom of the picture.



The Cloud Chamber is a sealed tank filled with a gas, usually with a magnet to one side of it. When a particle, such as an atom, electron or proton passes through the tank it collides with some of the particles in the gas to produce little clouds that mark its path. For an electrically neutral particle, such as a neutron, the path will be straight. However, for any particle that is not electrically neutral its path will be bent towards or away from the magnet that forms part of the apparatus. (This ends the scientific community's explanation.)

(Here the issue is that photons are smaller than quarks which are smaller than the proton, neutron or electron. What they think they are seeing are only the charged particles: electrons and protons. But NOT the smaller photons; light doesn't bend or you could reproduce that with any light and a magnet which you cannot.)

Einstein tried to explain his "theory" but it is obviously full of holes:

"It followed from the **Special Theory of Relativity** that mass and energy are both but different manifestations of the same thing - a somewhat unfamiliar **conception** for the average mind. Furthermore, the equation E is equal to mc^2 , in which energy is put equal to mass, multiplied with the [by the] square of the velocity of light, showed that **very small amounts of mass may be converted into a very large amount of energy and vice versa**. The mass and energy were in fact equivalent, according to the formula mentioned before [$E = mc^2$]. This was demonstrated by Cockcroft and Walton in 1932, experimentally." Albert Einstein (1879 - 1955)

Holes in the theory:

1. It is a *THEORY* according to Einstein himself.
2. What is Special about this Theory? Is it not a universal TRUTH?
3. If mass and energy are both *different* manifestations of the *same* thing how can they be the *same* if they are *different*?
4. Why is Special Relativity a *Theory* or a *Concept* and NOT a *FACT*?
5. If mass and energy are both *different* manifestations of the *same* thing why is mass *multiplied to the speed of light squared* to make it equal?
6. If they are again the same thing how it is that *very small amount of mass* may be converted into a *very large amount of energy* and vice versa?
7. *Things that are different are not equal* energy is not equal to mass in the equation without squaring the fastest known thing and then multiplying that to the mass. This accounts for taking an atom and producing an atom bomb. Great now we can travel faster than light right? These guys are going to kill you.

The statement that is made does not match the equation given. This does not explain the equation at all it just complicates the *matter*. It looks like someone is trying to hide something here that they do not want YOU to know.

They are terrified that we will never reach the level of space travel. They MUST prove that there is other life in the cosmos so that they can disprove once and for all that the Earth is NOT the center of the universe and the Bible is just another old religious book written by scribes to control the masses. Eventually they will find the aliens but it will NOT be what they were looking for.

The math behind all this and the explanation seems to be skewed and do not match the facts. This is how they perpetrate lies by using math.

$E=mc^2$ is actually $E = \text{estimation}$, $m = \text{misassumption}$ & $c = \text{conjecture}$! They all have started with the assumed cosmological lies of:

1. Evolution is a FACT.
2. The earth is going around the sun.
3. The earth is spinning for day and night.
4. Light does not arrive immediately.
5. The distances in space are light-years away.
6. A high IQ means you are smart.
7. No one can lie using Mathematics.

$E=mc^2$ has nothing in the equation about any catalyst like fire. If mass were energy and energy was mass, then why would this not be $E = m$? Why square something that is faster than anything without squaring it and then make that equal ANTHYING? THINK for a

moment. The c^2 part of the equation is totally impossible! How can energy be equal to the speed of light squared? Think of light AS energy then the speed of energy would be the same as the speed of light. If you multiply the speed of light times the speed of light and then multiply that by mass then you have the atom bomb. A death machine; not a way to travel through space faster than light speed; as it has been proven that NOTHING travels faster than light; NOT even close to it! We were NEVER meant to travel that fast; and we were never meant to travel IN OUTER SPACE. Fact is we are not equipped to live in space without extreme precautions, procedures, protocols, protections and counter protections. The earth's environment has all these things already and the earth is NOT going to go away anytime in the near future. From the time of this writing we have more than a thousand years left on earth. So don't believe the scientific communities when they tell you that an asteroid is fixing to crash on the earth. They are just blowing hot air. If an asteroid is in the hemisphere then where are the hemorrhoids? In the asz-most-sphere. I guess that is close to Uranus! Space jokes.

But seriously all the equations in the world will not nullify the fact that you are a soul and you will give an account of your life to your creator one day. You will live forever in a perpetual glorious state or in eternal damnation and eternal death state in the lake of fire which is the second death. The scientific community has been at work trying their best to suppress this eternal and absolute truth from you. Because they want to keep it from themselves they want to keep it from you too. So instead they offer you faith in THEM. You came from monkeys instead of God; the earth was slung out of the sun instead of God creating the earth and four days later creating the sun, moon and the stars. They offer you conjectures, hypothesis, estimations, assumptions, and create and construct elaborate algorithms and complicated algebraic mathematical schemes in order to deceive the simple. Romans 16:17-18, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches **deceive the hearts of the simple.**"

So if you feel like you are simple; and not high minded just know this: Psalms 19:7, "The law of the LORD is perfect, **converting the soul**: the testimony of the LORD is sure, **making wise the simple.**" You can be wise in the Lord by being converted. But you MUST believe God's words: Psalms 119:130, "**The entrance of thy words giveth light; it giveth understanding unto the simple.**" And you must believe they are the words of God and not man: 1 Thessalonians 2:13, "For this cause also thank we God without ceasing, because, **when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received it not as the word**

of men, but as it is in truth, the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe.”

The trouble is science leaves out any form of a catalyst in their equations because they do not know how to calculate them. They have tried to calculate light but light is a form of energy that they don't actually know what it is. Without knowing where light came from how can they determine what it is? Let alone how fast it is moving? At the end of the first day we read this account in Genesis 1:3-5, “And God said, Let there be light: and there was light. And God saw the light, that it was good: and God divided the light from the darkness. And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.” However because the scientist can't explain God they have left out the very first and foremost catalyst: God! Genesis 1:1, “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth.” The atheistic evolutionist has been trying to get rid of the concept of God for thousands of years and now they are telling us they have found the photon particle. But when it was first discovered they called it the God particle because it is light and they are right back to: 1 John 1:5, “This then is the message which we have heard of him, and declare unto you, that God is light, and in him is no darkness at all.”

So we have come full circle in this in the previous paragraph from God is light to God creating light and back again. So did God created himself? NO! So obviously these are two very different lights. God is a light no man can approach: 1 Timothy 6:13-16, “I give thee charge in the sight of God, who quickeneth all things, and before Christ Jesus, who before Pontius Pilate witnessed a good confession; That thou keep this commandment without spot, unrebukeable, until the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ: Which in his times he shall shew, who is the blessed and only Potentate, the King of kings, and Lord of lords; Who only hath immortality, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto; whom no man hath seen, nor can see: to whom be honour and power everlasting. Amen.” However the light that God created is called DAY: Genesis 1:5a, “And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night.”

So we see two lights one that these scientists are talking about and the other they are trying to get rid of! Go figure! They are trying to discover something that is LIKE God but they reject any notion of God and they think they are going to find something? Deuteronomy 4:24, “For the LORD thy God is a consuming fire, even a jealous God.” And Paul reaffirmed this: Hebrews 12:29, “For our God is a consuming fire.”

God's nature is light and fire; but these are not what we understand as light and fire that we see in the natural world because we can approach light to read by and the fire in the fireplace

to be wormed by. But God's light and fire are not approachable. This is what scares them scientist to death and this is why they want to get rid of the Bible any way possible.

It is for this reason that it is impossible for these evolutionistic atheist pantheists cannot please God: Hebrews 11:6, "But without faith it is impossible to please him: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him." This is why they are terrified of God; because deep down in their conscience they know they deserve the reward for their sins; they just don't think they deserve hell fire and damnation; and so they unjustly accuse God of being a tyrant in their hearts.

But what if God gave us what these guys are looking for and can't find? What is they are contained in the Bible?

The cosmology starts with the creation of the firmament: Genesis 1:6-8, "And God said, Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters, and let it divide the waters from the waters. And God made the firmament, and divided the waters which were under the firmament from the waters which were above the firmament: and it was so. And God called the firmament Heaven. And the evening and the morning were the second day." This firmament is where God places the sun, moon and stars (Genesis 1:14-19); and also is where the birds fly (Genesis 1:20). This accounts for both the atmosphere and outer space.

This is not where the earth was in Genesis 1:1. Originally (2 Peter 3:4-7; Genesis 1:2) the foundation of the earth was on water and ice. 2 Samuel 22:16, "And the channels of the sea appeared, the foundations of the world were discovered, at the rebuking of the LORD, at the blast of the breath of his nostrils." And Psalms 18:15, "Then the channels of waters were seen, and the foundations of the world were discovered at thy rebuke, O LORD, at the blast of the breath of thy nostrils." And Job 38:30, "The waters are hid as with a stone, and the face of the deep is frozen." Now the foundations are out of course: Psalms 82:5, "They know not, neither will they understand; they walk on in darkness: all the foundations of the earth are out of course." But now the earth is hanging upon nothing: Job 26:7, "He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing." This happened during day one and the nothing is the outer space we are hanging in now.

Light in the cosmology: Genesis 1:14-19, "And God said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years: And let them be for lights in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth: and it was so. And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: he made the stars also. And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth, And to rule over the day and

over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: and God saw that it was good. And the evening and the morning were the fourth day.” Notice that the earth came before the sun, moon and stars. Next notice that it is the sun, moon and stars were made “...to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years...” So the first issue with our present cosmos is to understand it in the geocentric cosmological and Bible way and THEN you might get to the next step in understanding light.

Light: It looks like when people reject the light they will not know or understand light when they try to. Job 24:13, “They are of those that rebel against the light; they know not the ways thereof, nor abide in the paths thereof.” How do you figure out light unless you know first that the Lord God Almighty IS light and created the light as a figure or picture of Himself. How can you know the ways of light or the paths of light without knowing God?

1. Job 38:24, “By what way is the light parted, which scattereth the east wind upon the earth?” Did you ever perceive that when light is parted or split it causes the east wind?
2. Psalms 36:9, “For with thee is the fountain of life: in thy light shall we see light.” Have you considered that God’s light is the only way to really see light? (John 1:1-4).
3. Psalms 104:1-6, “Bless the LORD, O my soul. O LORD my God, thou art very great; thou art clothed with honour and majesty. Who coverest thyself with light as with a garment: who stretchest out the heavens like a curtain: Who layeth the beams of his chambers in the waters: who maketh the clouds his chariot: who walketh upon the wings of the wind: Who maketh his angels spirits; his ministers a flaming fire: Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever. Thou coveredst it with the deep as with a garment: the waters stood above the mountains.” Consider NOW that God can cloth Himself with light; it was God “...who stretchest out the heavens like a curtain...” and it was God “...Who layeth the beams of his chambers in the waters...” and it was God “...who maketh the clouds his chariot...” and it was God “...who walketh upon the wings of the wind...” and it was God “...Who maketh his angels spirits; his ministers a flaming fire...” and it was God “...Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever...” and it was God who “...coveredst it with the deep as with a garment...” and it was God that TOLD you these things but you ignored Him.
4. Isaiah 8:20, “To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them.” The evolutionary scientist cannot understand light because there is no light in him and this is why they hate the Bible.
5. Isaiah 45:5-7, “I am the LORD, and there is none else, there is no God beside me: I girded thee, though thou hast not known me: That they may know from the rising of the sun, and from the west, that there is none beside me. I am the LORD, and there is

none else. I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace, and create evil: I the LORD do all these things.” The scientific mind when bent towards the carnal mind it will not see the truth even though it is right in front of his face; because he is in darkness. It was God who formed “...the light, and create darkness...” So why not ask God about light? What? Is that not scientific enough for you?

6. Jeremiah 31:35-37, “Thus saith the LORD, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; The LORD of hosts is his name: If those ordinances depart from before me, saith the LORD, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before me for ever. Thus saith the LORD; If heaven above can be measured, and the foundations of the earth searched out beneath, I will also cast off all the seed of Israel for all that they have done, saith the LORD.” You are NOT going to measure heaven or the foundations of the earth. They are out of your reach. If you can’t even deal with the simplest issues with the cosmos then how can you possibly tackle the complexities of the speed of light?
7. Daniel 2:20-22, “Daniel answered and said, Blessed be the name of God for ever and ever: for wisdom and might are his: And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings: he giveth wisdom unto the wise, and knowledge to them that know understanding: He revealeth the deep and secret things: he knoweth what is in the darkness, and the light dwelleth with him.” It is God that gives wisdom, knowledge and understanding. It is God that reveals the deep and secret things because it is God that knows what is in the darkness because the light dwells with Him. If you are NOT in His light then you will remain in darkness and your pitiful equations amount to nothing aside from God laughing at them.

One of the main errors in the scientific world is this “taking the scientist out of the equation” thing. Yet the Bible says Matthew 6:22, “The light of the body is the eye: if therefore thine eye be single, thy whole body shall be full of light.” How can you remove yourself from seeing light and expect to tell what light is? The guesswork these scientists do is so subtle and small and they cannot experientially SEE the experiment working. So they hypothesize about what they see in the outcome. The trouble is what they do not know about light. Light can be darkness: Matthew 6:23, “But if thine eye be evil, thy whole body shall be full of darkness. If therefore the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness!” God will give them what they want to see if they are evil; what they perceive as light will actually be a great darkness. Again we see this in Luke 11:34-36, “The light of the body is the eye: therefore when thine eye is single, thy whole body also is full of light; but when thine eye is evil, thy body also is full of darkness. Take heed therefore that the light which is in thee be

not darkness. If thy whole body therefore be full of light, having no part dark, the whole shall be full of light, as when the bright shining of a candle doth give thee light.”

Now we see that there is a true light and a false light: John 1:1-10, “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made. In him was life; and the life was the light of men. And the light shineth in darkness; and the darkness comprehended it not. There was a man sent from God, whose name was John. The same came for a witness, to bear witness of the Light, that all men through him might believe. He was not that Light, but was sent to bear witness of that Light. That was the true Light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world. He was in the world, and the world was made by him, and the world knew him not.” The true light is the Lord Jesus Christ. If you do not know Him you will never understand light.

Yet the scientist insists on looking into light; but he cannot approach to the light to give him understanding of light because he rejected God’s light who is the creator of light. Get it? John 3:18-21, “He that believeth on him is not condemned: but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God. And this is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil. For every one that doeth evil hateth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reprov’d. But he that doeth truth cometh to the light, that his deeds may be made manifest, that they are wrought in God.” I heard a preacher say one time: “...light rejected becomes lightning...” I think that is true on the one hand; and on the other hand I would say light rejected becomes darkness.

The truth is that light like truth is given in the Bible Acts 26:18, “To open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me.” It is for YOU because John 3:15-17, “That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life. For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life. For God sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through him might be saved.”

It is the light of the gospel that is missing from science. Without this light you walk on in darkness with no hope; without understanding; without God in the world; dead in your sins (John 8:21, 24; Ephesians 2: 1, 12; Colossians 2:13). However, there is hope: there is an understanding: 1 John 5:20, “And we know that the Son of God is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in

his Son Jesus Christ. This is the true God, and eternal life.” Everything you ever wanted is found in the Lord Jesus Christ: Hope, truth, freedom, perfection, holiness, faith, grace, mercy, love and happiness. And as if that wasn’t all: eternal life!

John 3:15-16, “That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life. For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.” God’s love is found in His only begotten Son the Lord Jesus Christ. John 10:27-28, “My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me: And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand.” However you are NOT in good standing with God if the Lord Jesus Christ is not your personal savior. Because your sins have permeated your entire person; you must be born again; you have to be perfect and holy before God can ever reside inside. You say, “Wait, how can I become perfect before God?” Good question; I’m glad you asked that:

- A. You must admit to God that you are NOT perfect and that your own righteousness cannot save you. Admitting to God that you are a sinner.
 - a. Romans 3:23, “For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God...”
 - b. Romans 5:12, “Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned...”
 - c. Romans 6:23, “For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.”
 - d. 1 Corinthians 15:56, “The sting of death is sin; and the strength of sin is the law.”
 - e. 1 John 3:4, “Whosoever committeth sin transgresseth also the law: for sin is the transgression of the law.”
- B. You must believe what God told you to do: Receive the Lord Jesus Christ as your personal savior by faith and trust in Him ALONE.
 - a. John 1:12-13, “But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name: Which were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God.”
 - b. Acts 16:31, “And they said, Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved, and thy house.”
 - c. 1 John 3:23, “And this is his commandment, That we should believe on the name of his Son Jesus Christ, and love one another, as he gave us commandment.”
 - d. 1 John 5:13, “These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God.”
 - e. Romans 10:17, “So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God.”
- C. You must confess this before God and man: in prayer to God and testimony to man.

- a. Romans 10:9-10, “That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved. For with the heart man believeth unto righteousness; and with the mouth confession is made unto salvation.”
- b. Matthew 10:32, “Whosoever therefore shall confess me before men, him will I confess also before my Father which is in heaven.”
- c. Luke 12:8, “Also I say unto you, Whosoever shall confess me before men, him shall the Son of man also confess before the angels of God...”
- d. Acts 2:21, “And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be saved.”
- e. Romans 10:13, “For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved.”

If you have done these things the Lord God Almighty has pardoned you and declared you to be the righteousness of God through the Lord Jesus Christ.

Because you have been justified: Romans 3:24-28, “Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; To declare, I say, at this time his righteousness: that he might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus. Where is boasting then? It is excluded. By what law? of works? Nay: but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law.”

You have been reconciled to God: 2 Corinthians 5:16-21, “Wherefore henceforth know we no man after the flesh: yea, though we have known Christ after the flesh, yet now henceforth know we him no more. Therefore if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature: old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new. And all things are of God, who hath reconciled us to himself by Jesus Christ, and hath given to us the ministry of reconciliation; To wit, that God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation. Now then we are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ's stead, be ye reconciled to God. For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him.”

The End