10 Questions for Christians That They Cannot Answer

By: David A. Sargent

(Answers to a Pagan's 10 Questions He Could Not Answer)

1. When Noah's ark landed, how did the kangaroos make it back to Australia?

Answer: 1. Who said Australia was a separate continent at that time? This question brings up another similar question: how did the kangaroos get to the ark FROM Australia to begin with? These questions can only be answered by the same logical conclusions you would answer the question for any indigenous animal that exist on any other continent. SO, like the Bearing Straight was at once connected by a land bridge so were all the continents at one time prior to the flood; what was left after the flood was similar land bridges to all the continents. Thus God ordered the animals to their own habitats just like birds flying south for the winter; and returning to the north for the summer and going back to their birth nest place by God directing them to it. Just like the fish that swim out to the lakes, and return upstream to their exact birth place too lay eggs. God guides them back! After over 4000 years of erosion, the continents are continually getting farther apart in some areas and closer in others. All of these things are common knowledge.

2. If the ark was covered in pitch or tar to make it water tight it also made it air tight. How did the animals survive more than one or two days living in complete darkness without any fresh air? Remember, the rain lasted 40 days and 40 nights. Noah couldn't open the window in the top!

Answer: 2. Water tight does NOT imply air tight. This is a scientific FACT. NOTHING is said in the Bible about the ark being AIR TIGHT. This assumption is just that: an ass-ump-tion! It CAN be water tight and NOT be air tight: that is a provable fact. Air molecules are much smaller that water molecules. N2 is 0.11nm, O2 is 0.12nm, and water is 0.278nm. Latex balloon scientific TEST: fill one balloon with air the other with water, wait a few days to see which one leaks out. Water tight is not air tight, while air tight is also water tight.

Who said it was completely dark? Noah knew how to preserve fire, and start fire. They would have had candles and / or torches to light up the ark inside. Why is this a problem? Someone is just missing common sense! There are much harder questions in the Bible.

3. Since Adam and Eve didn't know right from wrong before eating from the tree from the knowledge of good and evil; why did God then punish them for something they didn't understand they were doing?

Answer: 3. Adam was already TOLD NOT TO EAT FROM IT(Genesis 2:16-17)! Wow, what's there to understand? Don't eat from it or you will DIE (Genesis 2:16-17)! That was the death of

the spirit as we know from the FACT that you MUST be BORN AGAIN (John 3:6 -7): that which is born of flesh is flesh and that which is born of Spirit is Spirit: thus the spirit of man in Adam DIED with his first sin. Adam was created with his soul stuck to his body which with a living spirit made Adam innocent: however, after he DISSOBEYED God and ate from the tree that God TOLD him NOT to eat from it, Adam's spirit DIED, and because his soul was stuck to his body, the SIN that his flesh effected his SOUL. So everyone that is born after that are IN ADAM (1 Corinthians 15:22, "...For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.") and have this same nature with their soul stuck to the flesh and inherited the curse of death to the spirit when they are no longer innocent. As a child in innocence the spirit is alive (Romans 7:9, "For I was alive without the law once: but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died."); but when sin enters the understanding and innocence is replaced with guilt, the child becomes accountable for his sin and sin kills his spirit (James 1:15) and he is now accountable to God for his own sins because his SOUL is still STUCK to a SINFUL FLESH. The person is his SOUL; that is, the YOU inside is your soul. Don't lose your own soul. If you die in your sins, you WILL lose your own soul FOREVER!

4. Why would God place a forbidden tree in the garden so close to His innocent creation and allow Satan to tempt them into eating from it, all the while looking on without doing a thing to prevent it?

Answer: 4. This is a question of ignorance: God TOLD Adam NOT to eat from it; (Genesis 2:16-17, "And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." so as a test God would, by the very nature of the "law" not to eat from it, have required of Himself a time of distance from Adam to test him. Notice also this "law" not to eat from it was given before Eve was created: which would mean that this all happened on the 6th day of creation. God rested on the seventh day, and came back to man on the 8th day. Because God came back on the 8th day, it is possible that Chapter 3 happened on this day. The only other possibility is that it happened on the day that God was resting, and when Adam ate from the tree; fellowship with God was broken and God knew it had happened. In this case chapter 3 would have occurred on the 7th day of rest. God's perfect will was for Adam NOT to eat from it, but eat instead from the tree of life which Adam could have chosen to eat from (Genesis 2:9). Both trees were in the middle of the garden. (Genesis 2:9) This did NOT happen: so God's permissive will (Romans 12:2, "And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that GOOD, and ACCEPTABLE, and PERFECT, will of God.") was accomplished. God allowed because there are three wills of God: the GOOD will of God. Something that God views as GOOD but does not accept it; that is, he ALLOWS it; then there is the ACCEPTABLE will of God which is good and acceptable, but still NOT God's PERFECT WILL; He allows it; and then there is the PERFECT will of God, and that is what God intended, because it is GOOD, and ACCEPTABLE and EXACTLY WHAT GOD WANTED. In Adam's case, falling in sin was

God's good will. Because God allowed it, therefore God would eventually become a MAN (1 Timothy 3:16) and become the sacrifice to take mans place as punishment for man's sin (2 Corinthians 5:19), man's sins, and man's sinfulness (2 Corinthians 5:21). Jesus Christ became SIN for us. God was manifest in the flesh to take away SIN (Galatians 3:13). Now there is an operation that God performs on any man that receives Jesus Christ in cutting away the soul from the flesh (Colossians 2:11): this is the salvation of the soul (Romans 10:9-10); then giving man's dead spirit new life: this is being born again (John 3:7; 1 Peter 1:23). One day the flesh will also make the transition and be changed incorruptible and immortal (1 Corinthians 15:51-53). This is the doctrine of the tripartite (1 Thessalonians 5:23) nature of man. God wanted to impart HIS OWN NATURE into mankind and this was the only way for Him to do just that (1 John 3:2). God had to DIE in OUR place! He rose from the dead and therefore can give eternal life; because HE IS eternal life. 1 John 5:20.

2 Corinthians 5:17-21, "Therefore if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature: old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new. And all things are of God, who hath reconciled us to himself by Jesus Christ, and hath given to us the ministry of reconciliation; To wit, that God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation. Now then we are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ's stead, be ye reconciled to God. For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him."

5. When the women went to Jesus' empty tomb was the stone already rolled away or did an angel roll it away after the women got there?

Answer: 5. For this we will examine the accounts given:

Matthew 28:1-6, "In the end of the sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulchre. And, behold, there was a great earthquake: for THE ANGEL OF THE LORD descended from heaven, and came and rolled back the stone from the door, and sat upon it. His countenance was like lightning, and his raiment white as snow: And for fear of him the keepers did shake, and became as dead men. And the angel answered and said unto the women, Fear not ye: for I know that ye seek Jesus, which was crucified. He is not here: for he is risen, as he said. Come, see the place where the Lord lay.

This is the clear statement of the account that Mary Magdalene saw this happen. The other accounts also relate this but are more vague:

Mark 16:1-6, "And when the sabbath was past, Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James, and Salome, had bought sweet spices, that they might come and anoint him. And very early in the morning the first day of the week, they came unto the sepulchre at the rising of the sun. And they said among themselves, Who shall roll us away the stone from the door of the sepulchre?

And WHEN THEY LOOKED, THEY SAW THAT THE STONE WAS ROLLED AWAY: for it was very great. And entering into the sepulchre, they saw a young man sitting on the right side, clothed in a long white garment; and they were affrighted. And he saith unto them, Be not affrighted: Ye seek Jesus of Nazareth, which was crucified: he is risen; he is not here: behold the place where they laid him."

Marks account is miss read to assume they did not see what Matthew records: however, a careful reading of this passage shows that "...WHEN THEY LOOKED, THEY SAW..." What exactly did they see? "...that the stone was ROLLED AWAY..." They saw it roll away lining up with Matthew: "...they saw that the stone was rolled away..." Remember the writer is writing in the past tense because he was writing after the fact and not present tense because he was NOT THERE!

Luke 23:55-24:10, "And the women also, which came with him from Galilee, followed after, and beheld the sepulchre, and how his body was laid. And they returned, and prepared spices and ointments; and rested the sabbath day according to the commandment. Now upon the first day of the week, very early in the morning, they came unto the sepulchre, bringing the spices which they had prepared, and certain others with them. And THEY FOUND THE STONE ROLLED AWAY FROM THE SEPULCHRE. And they entered in, and found not the body of the Lord Jesus. And it came to pass, as they were much perplexed thereabout, behold, two men stood by them in shining garments: And as they were afraid, and bowed down their faces to the earth, they said unto them, Why seek ye the living among the dead? He is not here, but is risen: remember how he spake unto you when he was yet in Galilee, Saying, The Son of man must be delivered into the hands of sinful men, and be crucified, and the third day rise again. And they remembered his words, And returned from the sepulchre, and told all these things unto the eleven, and to all the rest. It was Mary Magdalene, and Joanna, and Mary the mother of James, and other women that were with them, which told these things unto the apostles."

Here the phrase "...they found the stone rolled away from the sepulcher." Is misread to assume a past tense: "...they found the stone had been rolled away..." the assumed "...had been..." or "...already was..." is NOT IN THE PASSAGE. Notice the past tense with the present tense: "...they found the stone..." and then the present active "...rolled away..." as they approached the place this was happening: which lines up with Matthew and Mark perfectly.

John 20:1, "The first day of the week cometh Mary Magdalene early, when it was yet dark, unto the sepulchre, and SEETH THE STONE TAKEN AWAY FROM the sepulchre."

Here the phrase, "...SEETH THE STONE TAKEN AWAY FROM..." involves an experience in the present tense but written of a past event just like all the Gospels were written after the fact. The fact is she saw the stone taken away as a present tense active event before her eyes as all the 4 Gospels agree too.

6. One the first day of the week when Jesus rose from the dead, how many women went to the tomb and which ones?

Answer: 6. Once again for this we will examine the accounts given:

Matthew 28:1-6, "In the end of the sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulchre. And, behold, there was a great earthquake: for the angel of the Lord descended from heaven, and came and rolled back the stone from the door, and sat upon it. His countenance was like lightning, and his raiment white as snow: And for fear of him the keepers did shake, and became as dead men. And the angel answered and said unto the women, Fear not ye: for I know that ye seek Jesus, which was crucified. He is not here: for he is risen, as he said. Come, see the place where the Lord lay.

This is the statement of who came: "...Mary Magdalene and the other Mary..." who was the "other Mary" and more importantly how many "Mary's" are there in the Bible? Looks like just two women however, just because something is not given in the passage does not mean that it is not there or in this case someone missing, does not implicate that they were not there. To harmonize the gospels we take all the accounts together.

Mark 16:1-6, "And when the sabbath was past, Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James, and Salome, had bought sweet spices, that they might come and anoint him. And very early in the morning the first day of the week, they came unto the sepulchre at the rising of the sun. And they said among themselves, Who shall roll us away the stone from the door of the sepulchre? And when they looked, they saw that the stone was rolled away: for it was very great. And entering into the sepulchre, they saw a young man sitting on the right side, clothed in a long white garment; and they were affrighted. And he saith unto them, Be not affrighted: Ye seek Jesus of Nazareth, which was crucified: he is risen; he is not here: behold the place where they laid him."

Here in Marks account it is given the names: "...Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James, and Salome" Three women in this account.

Luke 23:55-24:10, "And the women also, which came with him from Galilee, followed after, and beheld the sepulchre, and how his body was laid. And they returned, and prepared spices and ointments; and rested the sabbath day according to the commandment. Now upon the first day of the week, very early in the morning, they came unto the sepulchre, bringing the spices which they had prepared, and certain others with them. And they found the stone rolled away from the sepulchre. And they entered in, and found not the body of the Lord Jesus. And it came to pass, as they were much perplexed thereabout, behold, two men stood by them in shining garments: And as they were afraid, and bowed down their faces to the earth, they said unto them, Why seek ye the living among the dead? He is not here, but is risen: remember how he spake unto you when he was yet in Galilee, Saying, The Son of man must be delivered into the hands of sinful men, and be crucified, and the third day rise again. And they remembered his words, And returned

from the sepulchre, and told all these things unto the eleven, and to all the rest. It was Mary Magdalene, and Joanna, and Mary the mother of James, and other women that were with them, which told these things unto the apostles."

Here in Luke's account we see: "...Mary Magdalene, and Joanna, and Mary the mother of James, and other women that were with them..." at least 3 named persons; and then the plural "other women" so, there would have been at least 5 women there in this account and possibly more but let's get John's account and then make a summation of all 4 accounts.

John 20:1, "The first day of the week cometh Mary Magdalene early, when it was yet dark, unto the sepulchre, and seeth the stone taken away from the sepulchre."

Here John gives one person as Mary Magdalene, but does NOT say "ONLY"... it is oblivious that she is among these women the ring leader.

Another list of women shows a similarity in this group and adds another person: Mark 15:40-41, "There were also women looking on afar off: among whom was Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James the less and of Joses, and Salome; (Who also, when he was in Galilee, followed him, and ministered unto him;) and MANY OTHER WOMEN which came up with him unto Jerusalem.

Here is the list of people: 1. Mary Magdalene, 2. Mary the mother of James the less, 3. Joses, 4. Salome, 5. Joanna, and 6. 7. Or more: other women with them. Joses could be the same as Joanna but I would contend that they are different personages. Who is Mary the mother of James the less? Who is Joses? Who is Salome? And who is Joanna? Who are the other women? Does this really make any difference as to how many people were there? Why is this an issue. All the 4 Gospels agree as to the fact that a group of women when to the sepulchre with Mary Magdalene, and Matthew did not say it was ONLY her. No problem there: the only problem is in the heart of men that would find fault with the Bible. What it looks like is that at least seven women where there. Why is that an issue? It is refreshing to see however the questioner holds to the account of the resurrection as a FACT!

7. If you believe the creation account in Genesis is mere allegory, then why don't you throughout Paul's Epistles, because he believe that the creation account was a historic fact?

Answer: 7. You are assuming I believe it is a mere allegory which I do NOT! It is a pagan teaching of the Genesis account that teaches it as allegorical; but as a Bible Believer; I believe it is just as much a FACT as Jesus Christ and Paul did. This is a lame question at best.

8. How many donkeys did Jesus ride in His triumphal entry into Jerusalem: was it one donkey like Mark, Luke and John say, or was it two donkeys like Matthew said?

Answer: 8. The idiot that asked these questions can't read and doesn't know the text of Matthew as it quotes the passage from Zechariah 9:9, "Rejoice greatly, O daughter of Zion; shout, O

daughter of Jerusalem: behold, thy King cometh unto thee: he is just, and having salvation; lowly, and RIDING UPON AN ASS, AND UPON A COLT THE FOAL OF AN ASS. " and the statement in Matthew 21:5, "Tell ye the daughter of Sion, Behold, thy King cometh unto thee, meek, and SITTING UPON AN ASS, AND A COLT THE FOAL OF AN ASS." Now to complete this we need: Matthew 21:2, "Saying unto them, Go into the village over against you, and straightway ye shall find an ass tied, and a colt with her: loose them, and bring them unto me." Verse 3: "them" referring to the ass and her colt or foal. Then in Matthew 21:7, "And brought the ass, and the colt, and put on them their clothes, and they set him thereon." Notice he sat on BOTH right? No, that is NOT what it says anymore than it says they picked Jesus up and put him on the animals! Only an ILLITERATE IDIOT would read this into the passage. The donkey or ASS and her colt or FOAL would create a seat on the ASS and a foot rest on the FOAL and so Jesus Christ road on the Donkey and he also road on the Donkey and the Colt. Both are true if you could understand. Some people just lack understanding because they already have their minds made up that the Bible is untrue; however my mind is made up that it is absolutely true and every problem anyone can come up with has an answer; the trouble is, YOU don't want to hear it. Therefore you CAN'T UNDERSTAND it. Thus the proverb stands true of YOU: Isaiah 6:10, "Make the heart of this people fat, and make their ears heavy, and shut their eyes; lest they see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their heart, and convert, and be healed." With: Matthew 13:15, "For this people's heart is waxed gross, and their ears are dull of hearing, and their eyes they have closed; lest at any time they should see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and should understand with their heart, and should be converted, and I should heal them." With: Acts 28:27, "For the heart of this people is waxed gross, and their ears are dull of hearing, and their eyes have they closed; lest they should see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their heart, and should be converted, and I should heal them." And why you ask? Because: 2 Peter 2:12 But these, as natural brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed, speak evil of the things that they understand not; and shall utterly perish in their own corruption;"

9. Matthew and Luke both provide genealogies for Jesus going all the way back to Adam: using both of those lists who was Joseph's father?

Answer: 9. First of all both genealogies DON'T go back to Adam! Not only is the questioner IGNORANT of the FACTS and MISSREPRESNETING the Bible; but here he is showing his true colors and motive: He WANT'S to make a LIAR out of God! This is his motive, purpose, desire, and intent. He exists as a quandary to himself against his own creator. The trouble with these genealogies is in Matthew there are MISSING people when comparing to the kings lists in the Old Testament. So that God does not account them a place in the Kingship lineage with Christ. This starts with Abraham and NOT with Adam. Because it is the Abrahamic Covenant that Christ fulfills in this genealogy. However, in the account Matthew 1:1 a synopsis is given: "The book of the generation of Jesus Christ, the son of David, the son of Abraham." Jesus Christ was not David's son and David was NOT Abraham's son. But that is how the Bible words things

because Abraham was IN ADAM, just as David was IN ABRAHAM and just like Joseph was IN DAVID: however, Jesus Christ was born of GOD and the line ended with Joseph: his dad would have been Jacob; Matthew 1:16, "And Jacob begat Joseph the husband of Mary, of whom was born Jesus, who is called Christ." but Jesus Christ was NOT Joseph's son parse; howbeit he was step son to Joseph. The genealogy in Luke however shows a much different line: and if you compare them you will see both are lines with David: but Matthew's line goes through Solomon and the kings; while Luke's line goes through Nathan, and NOT THE KINGS. Thus this line is Mary's genealogy and if you READ the account you will see: son-in-laws are considered sons in the Bible: Luke 3:23, "And Jesus himself began to be about thirty years of age, being (as was supposed) the son of Joseph, which was the son of Heli," So here son of Heli is and can be son-in-law, because they hid the virgin birth from the Jewish leadership lest Mary be stoned for being with child before marriage. This is NOT a problem once again IF YOU CAN UNDERSTAND: your motive when you approach the book determines the outcome of what you get from it. It is the ONLY book like it anywhere.

10. Was Jesus crucified on the first day of Passover like the gospel of John says, or the next day like the other three gospels say?

Answer: 10. For this we need to compare the gospels:

Matthew 26:1-5, "And it came to pass, when Jesus had finished all these sayings, he said unto his disciples, Ye know that after two days is the feast of the passover, and the Son of man is betrayed to be crucified. Then assembled together the chief priests, and the scribes, and the elders of the people, unto the palace of the high priest, who was called Caiaphas, And consulted that they might take Jesus by subtility, and kill him. But they said, Not on the feast day, lest there be an uproar among the people."

Notice first that this takes place on Tuesday evening before 6:00. The Passover would be killed on Wednesday and eaten on Thursday, it is still two days before the feast of the Passover. (Mark 14:1).

Matthew 26:17-20, "Now the first day of the feast of unleavened bread the disciples came to Jesus, saying unto him, Where wilt thou that we prepare for thee to eat the passover? And he said, Go into the city to such a man, and say unto him, The Master saith, My time is at hand; I will keep the passover at thy house with my disciples. And the disciples did as Jesus had appointed them; and they made ready the passover. Now when the even was come, he sat down with the twelve."

Notice here that the chronology dates from Tuesday afternoon until after the beginning of Wednesday (Which to a Jew would begin at 6:00 PM Tuesday night.) (Luke 22:7; Lev. 23:5-8) Notice that this is NOT the passover meal; it is a preparation meal for the feast that would be eaten the next Thursday night. Matthew 27:1, "When the morning was come, all the chief priests and elders of the people took counsel against Jesus to put him to death:" This would be

Wednesday Morning: By the time Pilate's wife is waning Pilate of the dream she has had it is nearly 8:00 AM Wednesday morning: (Matthew 27:19)

Matthew 27:45-46, "Now from the sixth hour there was darkness over all the land unto the ninth hour. And about the ninth hour Jesus cried with a loud voice, saying, Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani? that is to say, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" Notice that here we have a time element: "...the sixth hour..." and also "...the ninth hour..." which figures from 12:00 noon to 3:00 PM. In the South darktime is early afternoon thus it is called evening: however, up North they refer to evening at around 6:00 PM. The calling 12:00 to 3:00 PM "evening" is obtained from the literal belief in Exodus 12:6 as applied to the crucifixion. The problem text difference in John 19:14, "And it was the preparation of the passover, and about the sixth hour: and he saith unto the Jews, Behold your King!" is due to the fact that all Romans as all Gentiles begin a day at midnight. To the Roman as an American: 12:05 AM signifies 5 minutes into the beginning of a new day: so in the Military: 2400 signifies midnight, and the end of the old day. Thus the sixth hour for a Roman would be 6:00 AM in the morning, which is exactly what it is in John 19:14. This cannot be the Jewish 6th hour, for we read in Mark 15:25, "And it was the third hour, and they crucified him." Because the Jews would count from 6:00 AM as the beginning hour (see Matthew 20:1-6) the 3rd hour would be 9:00 AM; therefore Jesus' final appearance before Pilate is around 6:00 AM to 6:30 AM; and his final mocking and disrobing is around 7:00 (Matthew 27:31) His walk to Calvary goes from about 7:30 to 8:00, and the cross goes up and into the ground around 9:00AM the 3rd hour. So from the 6th hour to the 9th hour: or from 12:00 NOON to 3:00 PM: "...there was darkness over all the land..." Prior to this Jesus had been hanging on the cross for 3 hours which would be the time he said: "...Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do..." Luke 23:34 and the thief on the cross preaching took place during this time. Jesus Christ the Lord responded: Luke 23:43, "And Jesus said unto him, Verily I say unto thee, To day shalt thou be with me in paradise." Then the Lord turns to John and in John 19:26-27, "When Jesus therefore saw his mother, and the disciple standing by, whom he loved, he saith unto his mother, Woman, behold thy son! Then saith he to the disciple, Behold thy mother! And from that hour that disciple took her unto his own home." After which it turned dark at a time when the sun is at its brightest: from 12:00 Noon to 3:00 PM which is the 6th hour to the 9th hour... Then Christ calls out: Matthew 27:46, "And about the ninth hour Jesus cried with a loud voice, saying, Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani? that is to say, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" as it says about the 9th hour which was 3 hours into the darkness close to3:00 PM. Then John 19:28, "After this, Jesus knowing that all things were now accomplished, that the scripture might be fulfilled, saith, I thirst." This is what the lost man in hell says! Luke 23:46, "And when Jesus had cried with a loud voice, he said, Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit: and having said thus, he gave up the ghost." And at the end He then says: John 19:30, "When Jesus therefore had received the vinegar, he said, It is finished: and he bowed his head, and gave up the ghost."

"Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit!" proceeds the other: "It is finished" because the first is said to have "...cried with a loud voice..." while the second did not, but a bowed head reflects almost a whisper of his last breath as he says, "It is finished." It is still Wednesday around the death of the Lord Jesus Christ would be the 9th hour or at 3:00 PM. Joseph of Arimathaea and Nicodemus wrap the body of the Lord between 5:00 PM and 6:00 PM Wednesday night. At 6:00 PM the Jewish day begins. So, Christ was three days and three nights in the heart of the earth. Matthew 12:39-40, "But he answered and said unto them, An evil and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign; and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth."

Let's back up a bit to get an overview: 1. Jesus Christ came in Sunday morning (Mark 11:11). 2. He slept at Bethany Sunday night (Mark 11:12). 3. He cursed the fig tree Monday morning and saw it withered Tuesday (Mark 11:20). 4. Tuesday evening at 5:00 was two days before the Passover (Mark 14:1). 5. Passover was eaten after 6:00 Thursday (Matthew 26:1). The Disciples went out to find a room on Tuesday (Luke 22:7). 6. Tuesday evening at the end of the third day of the week the Passover is killed – NOT EATEN (Matthew 26:1). 7. Thursday STARTS for the Jew at 6:00 PM on our Wednesday. SO, you have three nights and three days of 12 hours: Wednesday Night, Thursday Night, Friday Night and Thursday day, Friday day and Saturday day. Sunday starts at 6:00 PM on our Saturday. The first day of the week.

The count starts 1. Thursday beginning at 6:00 PM (our Wednesday) to 6:00 PM (our Thursday). 2. Friday from 6:00 PM (our Thursday) to 6:00 PM (our Friday). 3. Saturday from 6:00 PM (our Friday) to 6: PM (our Saturday). This would show that Christ rose from the dead ON the first day of the JEWISH WEEK at 6:00 PM Christ raises from the dead. The women came in the early morning Sunday around 5:30 AM. This lines up with all Scriptures with absolutely no problems.