

# Why Would Anyone Recommend the YLT

Just ONE more Perversion

Trying to Get RID of

## The Authorized Version

## The King James Bible

By: David A. Sargent

(YLT is Young's Literal Translation)

Luke 4:4, "And Jesus answered him, **saying**, It is written, That man shall not live by bread alone, but by every **word** of God." KJB

Luke 4:4, "And <2532> Jesus <2424> answered <611> him <4314> <846>, **saying** <3004>, It is written <1125>, That <3754> man <444> shall <2198> not <3756> live <2198> by <1909> bread <740> alone <3441>, but <235> by <1909> every <3956> **word** <4487> of God <2316>." KJB with Strong's #

Luke 4:4, "And Jesus answered him, **saying**, 'It hath been written, that, not on bread only shall man live, but on every **saying** of God.'" YLT

**4487** The funny thing about this is that the word "saying" is in the verses in the King James Bible but when it comes to "...but by every word of God." They all get squeamish. Why is that? This is removed from just about all modern versions, yet the YLT alters it in such a perverted way as to do diminish from the text that it is just like as if it were removed. What is every saying of God? When were God's sayings written words? Do you HAVE THEM? Are they just sayings, and you are to rely on who to tell you what God said? Look up the Strong's 4487 and 3004. Words are sayings and sayings are words. Right?

Luke 4:8, "And Jesus answered and said unto him, Get thee behind me, **Satan**: for it is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve." KJB

Luke 4:8, “And <2532> Jesus <2424> answered <611> and said <2036> unto him <846>, Get thee <5217> behind <3694> me <3450>, **Satan <4567>**: for <1063> it is written <1125>, Thou shalt worship <4352> the Lord <2962> thy <4675> God <2316>, and <2532> him <846> only <3441> shalt thou serve <3000>.” KJB with Strong’s #

Luke 4:8, “And Jesus answering him said, ‘Get thee behind me, **Adversary**, for it hath been written, Thou shalt bow before the Lord thy God, and Him only thou shalt serve.’” YLT

**4567** Wait what? Where did Satan go? Most all modern versions remove “...Get thee behind me, Satan...” To take out the culprit spirit behind Bible revisions; the adversary IS Satan. The YLT removed WHO the adversary was so you would not know. That is desperate wickedness. Do you know why? This word in Greek is a transliteration of the Hebrew word 7854. So why is it translated and not transliterated as God intended it to be? Because men think that they are smart enough to correct God’s HOLY Bible. 4567: Σατανᾶς – Satanias from: 4566: Σατᾶν – Satan which is a Greek transliteration of the Hebrew form of 7854: שָׂטָן – Satan; the proper name of the enemy of God from 7854: שָׂטָן – satan: to attack, accuse, accuser.

Let’s try the spirits behind finding the truth or finding the error:

1 John 4:1, “Beloved, believe not every spirit, but **try** the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets **are gone out into** the world.” KJB

1 John 4:1, “Beloved <27>, believe <4100> not <3361> every <3956> spirit <4151>, but <235> **try <1381>** the spirits <4151> whether <1487> they are <2076> of <1537> God <2316>: because <3754> many <4183> false prophets <5578> **are gone out <1831> into <1519>** the world <2889>.” KJB with Strong’s #

1 John 4:1, “Beloved, every spirit believe not, but **prove** the spirits, if of God they are, because many false prophets **have gone forth to** the world;” YLT

Is “...but prove the spirits...” the same as “...but try the spirits...” Now wait, what in the world is this version trying to do with English? The word order is incorrect for English. The King James Bible order of words is correct. Why would anyone recommend the YLT? It not is English good grammar. See the miss use of the sentence structure: It is not good English grammar. Syntactical miss alignment of the sentence structure can cause difficulty in reading. The Greek language has an

grammar order of words, as does English. Translation of words only is not a translation. There is more to translating than just word for word translation. Idiomatic and syntactical understanding of languages is needed to undertake such a task. The YLT falls way short of this task butchering the English language completely:

1 John 4:2, “**Hereby** know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ **is come** in the flesh is of God:” KJB

1 John 4:2, “**Hereby** <1722> <5129> know ye <1097> the Spirit <4151> of God <2316>: Every <3956> spirit <4151> that confesseth <3670> that Jesus <2424> Christ <5547> **is come** <2064> in <1722> the flesh <4561> is <2076> of <1537> God <2316>:” KJB with Strong’s #

1 John 4:2, “**in this** know ye the Spirit of God; every spirit that doth confess Jesus Christ in the flesh **having come**, of God it is,” YLT

The test is in the present tense: “...is come...” not past tense and not a hint of past tense. “...having come...” is past tense. This is meaningless in this test, because it is a proof of divine revelation that Jesus Christ **IS** come in the flesh, and that His resurrection **IN THE FLESH** is **ETERNAL**. Making this test a past tense makes Jesus Christ just a mortal man who never rose physically from the dead. The YLT is a superficial amalgamation of inconsistencies, phraseological blunders and out right **LYING** about the text. The antithesis of verse 2 is verse 3 where the blunder continues:

1 John 4:3, “And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ **is come** in the flesh is not of God: and this is that **spirit of antichrist**, whereof ye have heard that it **should come**; and even now already is it in the world.” KJB

1 John 4:3, “And <2532> every <3956> spirit <4151> that <3739> confesseth <3670> not <3361> that Jesus <2424> Christ <5547> **is come** <2064> in <1722> the flesh <4561> is <2076> not <3756> of <1537> God <2316>: and <2532> this <5124> is <2076> that **spirit of antichrist** <500>, whereof <3739> ye have heard <191> that <3754> it **should come** <2064>; and even <2532> now <3568> already <2235> is it <2076> in <1722> the world <2889>.” KJB with Strong’s #

1 John 4:3, “and every spirit that doth not confess Jesus Christ in the flesh **having come**, of God it is not; and this is that **of the antichrist**, which ye heard that it **doth come**, and now in the world it is already.” YLT

The same blunder is made in this part of the text with a past tense “...having come...” instead of the correct “...is come...” and notice also the word “...spirit...” is removed from “...that spirit of antichrist...” to “...that of the antichrist...” then making the antichrist “...doth come...” instead of “...should come...” Do you know what doth means? This brings up another blunder. Why did they use Old English in the YLT of 1862? Why use the King James Bible’s word usage to promote this version all the while castigating the King James Bible by altering phrases, words, and usage. “Doth” means “does” or “do”. It is an absolute while even the King James Bible does not use this word at all here but uses “should” and not the word “shouldest”. It is funny how this obvious phraseological blunder shows up in a modern translation that is NOT even in the King James Bible. The accusation of the modernism revisionist committees against the King James Bible is its usage of out dated words. So why use an out dated word where the King James Bible DOES NOT? A bit hypocritical huh.

1 John 4:4-6

4 “Ye are of God, little children, and have overcome them: because greater is he that is in you, than he that is in the world. 5 They are of the world: therefore speak they of the world, and the world heareth them. 6 We are of God: he that knoweth God heareth us; he that is not of God heareth not us. Hereby know we the spirit of truth, and the spirit of error.” KJB

1 John 4:4-6

4 “Ye -- of God ye are, little children, and ye have overcome them; because greater is He who is in you, than he who is in the world. 5 They -- of the world they are; because of this from the world they speak, and the world doth hear them; 6 we -- of God we are; he who is knowing God doth hear us; he who is not of God, doth not hear us; from this we know the spirit of the truth, and the spirit of the error.” YLT

Now we see verses 4 through 6 that the YLT blunders through the passage with non-English phrase skips and jumps that make the reader have to piece together the puzzle of word games to make it make any English sense. No one talks like this or

writes like this in English, unless they are totally unlearned. This makes the YLT look like a foolish work at best. So let's see a few other passages:

John 1:1-3, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. **The same** was in the beginning with God. All things were **made** by him; and without him **was not any thing made that was made.**" KJB

John 1:1-3, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God; **this one** was in the beginning with God; all things **through** him **did happen**, and without him **happened not even one thing that hath happened.**" YLT

To make something happen and to make something are two very different ideas. If all things were MADE by him and without him was not anything made that was made: what happened to this obviously creative work that the Word had in the beginning? The YLT has watered down the truth to such a point as to make the Word no longer part of the creative process. The Word is just a happening; as in like saying, "It just happened!" or "what's happening?" or some foolish thing like that and removed the creative abilities of the Word who by the way is the Lord Jesus Christ. Let's see why the YLT is having such a problem:

2 Corinthians 4:2-4, "But have renounced the hidden things of **dishonesty**, not walking in craftiness, nor **handling the word of God deceitfully**; but by manifestation of the truth **commending** ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God. But if our **gospel be hid**, it is **hid** to them that are **lost**: In whom **the god of this world** hath blinded the minds of **them which believe not**, lest the light of **the glorious gospel of Christ**, who is the image of God, should shine unto them." KJB

2 Corinthians 4:2-4, "but did renounce for ourselves the hidden things of **shame**, not walking in craftiness, nor **deceitfully using the word of God**, but by the manifestation of the truth **recommending** ourselves unto every conscience of men, before God; and if also our **good news is veiled**, in those **perishing** it is **veiled**, in whom **the god of this age** did blind the minds of the **unbelieving**, that there **doth** not shine forth to them the **enlightening** of **the good news** of **the glory of the Christ**, who is the image of God;" YLT

What we have in the YLT is a handling of the words of God deceitfully, and that is why they altered this to "...deceitfully USING the word of God..." no they

deliberately altered the text so they can go on “...handling the word of God deceitfully...” and not feel guilty about it. Commending is not even the same word as recommending. To commend is to do right by something or someone. To recommend is to tell to someone that someone is doing right. So in the passage the King James Bible says: “...by manifestation of the truth **commending** ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God.” This is a commendation of the TRUTH commended by us to others. While the corrupt YLT says: “...by the manifestation of the truth **recommending** ourselves unto every conscience of men, before God...” this recommending of themselves is exactly what they want to do; they do not commend the truth, they recommend themselves as though they are able to alter the Holy Bible and get away with it. These guys pat themselves on the back daily thinking they have actually achieved something with perverting the truth and altering the words of the Holy Bible. Then they have the audacity to recommend themselves to teach others to do the same. Desperate wickedness!

1. Words are not sayings
2. Satan is a transliteration from Hebrew to Greek to English
3. Is come is not have come
4. Spirit is not \_\_\_\_\_ (blank)
5. Making is not happening
6. Handling is not using
7. Commending is not recommending

There are seven reasons why no one should recommend the YLT. It is just one more perversion of the truth.

The Bible is Holy, and that is why it is called the Holy Bible. This Holy Bible is and has been for over 400 years the Authorized Version. It has been attacked by EVERY modern critic from agnostics and atheists to bible scholars and evangelicals. Either you love the old book or you hate it. If you are on the middle ground then you have not studied for yourself the issue. The King's Bible will stand the test no matter whom or what comes against it. Satan's attacks will keep furling by whoever tries to undermine the eternal words of eternal life found in the Holy Bible, the Authorized Version: the King James Bible of 1611.

**The End**